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|  | 蹗 |  | W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alice | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Bob | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Cecile | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Dave | 0 | 1 | 1 |
|  |  | M |  |
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## ComputerScience

 UNIVERSITY|  | $\stackrel{\text { OU }}{\stackrel{\text { U }}{4}}$ | - | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{\bar{O}} \\ & \stackrel{\otimes}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\text { ® }}{\stackrel{\text { ® }}{\sim}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alice | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Bob | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Cecile | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| Dave | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 |


| Alice | ( ${ }^{\text {易 }}$ |  | 悃 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Bob | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Cecile | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Dave | 0 | 1 | 1 |
|  |  | M |  |
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 UNIVERSITY|  | $\frac{\mathbb{O}}{\stackrel{U}{\mathbb{C}}}$ | 응 | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{\bar{O}} \\ & \text { © } \end{aligned}$ | - ® |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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|  |  | M |  |



## ComputerScience

 UNIVERSITY|  | $\frac{\otimes 巳}{\stackrel{0}{⿺}}$ | O | $$ | $\stackrel{\text { - }}{\sim}$ |
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| Alice | $\frac{.0}{\frac{0}{4}}$ | O | © <br> 0 <br> 0 | $\stackrel{\text { ® }}{\stackrel{\text { ® }}{0}}$ |
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Alice
Bob
Cecile

Dave



|  | 盛 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alice | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Bob | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Cecile | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Dave | 0 | 1 | 1 |
|  |  | M |  |

L is a similarity matrix between people
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 UNIVERSITY|  | $\frac{\otimes}{\stackrel{O}{\mathbb{C}}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 응 } \\ & \hline 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{0}{0}$ <br> 0 | $\stackrel{\text { ® }}{\text { ® }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alice | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Bob | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
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| Bob | 1 | 0 | 0 |
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| M |  |  |  |
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 UNIVERSITY|  | $\stackrel{\otimes}{\underset{Z}{2}}$ | ㅇㅇ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{\bar{O}} \\ & \underset{\sim}{0} \end{aligned}$ | ® |
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 UNIVERSITY|  | $\frac{\mathbb{O}}{\stackrel{U}{\mathbb{C}}}$ | 응 | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{\bar{O}} \\ & \text { © } \end{aligned}$ | - ® |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| Alice | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Bob | 1 | 0 | 0 |
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| Dave | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| M |  |  |  |



|  | $\frac{\text { U }}{\stackrel{\text { U }}{<}}$ | -0 | O | $\stackrel{\text { ® }}{\text { ® }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alice | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Bob | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Cecile | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| Dave | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 |


|  | , | 物 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alice | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Bob | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Cecile | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Dave | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| M |  |  |  |

$L$ is a similarity matrix between people
$R$ is a similarity matrix between movies


|  | $\stackrel{\otimes}{\stackrel{U}{\mathbb{Z}}}$ | \% |  | $\stackrel{0}{\stackrel{\text { ® }}{0}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alice | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Bob | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Cecile | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| Dave | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 |


| Alice | ( ${ }^{\text {曷 }}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Bob | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Cecile | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Dave | 0 | 1 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |

L is a similarity matrix between people
$R$ is a similarity matrix between movies
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|  | $\stackrel{\text { U }}{\substack{\text { O }}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O } \\ & \hline 0 \end{aligned}$ | O <br> - - <br> 0 | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alice | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Bob | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Cecile | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| Dave | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 |

$L$ is a similarity matrix between people
$R$ is a similarity matrix between movies

## Recommendation systems:

1. Compute similarity matrices $L$ and

R from M

$$
\begin{aligned}
L & =M M^{T} \\
R & =M^{T} M
\end{aligned}
$$

2. Apply some algorithm to $L$ and $R$ to obtain recommendation collaborative filtering nearest neighbor algorithm
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R & =M^{T} M
\end{aligned}
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What do we do if M is hidden?
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|  | - | - | 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alice | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Bob | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Cecile | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| Dave | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 |



What do we do if M is hidden?

Reconstruction problem:
Given $L$ and $R$ reconstruct $M$.
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|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O } \\ & \text { O } \end{aligned}$ | O <br> - <br> 0 | ก |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alice | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Bob | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Cecile | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| Dave | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alice | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Bob | 1 | 0 | 0 |
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| Dave | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| M |  |  |  |

Given the neighborhood information $L$ and $R$ how would you reconstruct $M$ ?
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|  | $\frac{0}{-\frac{0}{<}}$ | 응 | O <br> - - <br> 0 | $\stackrel{\text { ® }}{\text { ® }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alice | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Bob | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Cecile | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| Dave | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 |


|  | , | 物 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alice | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Bob | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Cecile | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Dave | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| M |  |  |  |

Given the neighborhood information $L$ and $R$ how would you reconstruct $M$ ?

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L=M M^{T} \\
& R=M^{T} M
\end{aligned}
$$



## Reconstruction problem:

Given neighborhood matrices L and R construct binary matrix $\hat{M}$ Such that $F_{L}(\hat{M})+F_{R}(\hat{M})$ is minimized

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{L}(\hat{M})=\left\|\hat{M} \hat{M}^{T}-L\right\| \\
& F_{R}(\hat{M})=\left\|\hat{M}^{T} \hat{M}-R\right\|
\end{aligned}
$$

Measures the distance between $\mathrm{L}, \mathrm{R}$ and the neighborhood matrices of $\hat{M}$
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## Connection to SVD

Idea: Reconstruct M by obtaining its SVD decomposition

SVD decomposition of $\mathrm{M}: \quad M=U \Sigma V^{T}$

Eigen decomposition of $\mathrm{L}: \quad L=U \Lambda U^{T} \quad$ Remember: $L=M M^{T}$
Eigen decomposition of $\mathrm{R}: \quad R=V \Lambda V^{T}$

$$
R=M^{T} M
$$

Observe $\Lambda=\Sigma^{2}$

## Connection to SVD

Eigen decomposition of L and $\mathrm{R}: \quad L=U \Lambda U^{T} \quad R=V \Lambda V^{T} \quad \Lambda=\Sigma^{2}$

As a result we have all components of the SVD representation of $M$

$$
U, V \text { and } \Sigma=\sqrt{\Lambda}
$$
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## Connection to SVD

Eigen decomposition of L and $\mathrm{R}: \quad L=U \Lambda U^{T} \quad R=V \Lambda V^{T} \quad \Lambda=\Sigma^{2}$

As a result we have all components of the SVD representation of $M$

$$
U, V \text { and } \Sigma=\sqrt{\Lambda}
$$

Compute $\quad \hat{M}=U \sqrt{\Lambda} V^{T}$
Done?

We don't know what sign to pick for the singular values

$$
\hat{\Sigma}_{i}= \pm \sqrt{\Lambda}_{i}
$$

We don't know whether the resulting $\hat{M}$ is binary.
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## Greedy SVD reconstruction

1. Compute eigenvalue decompositions of L and R to obtain $U, V, \Lambda$

$$
\text { Set } \hat{\Sigma}_{i}= \pm \sqrt{\Lambda}_{i}
$$

2. Fix signs of singular values in decreasing order of magnitude

$$
\left|\Sigma_{1}\right| \geq\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \geq \ldots\left|\Sigma_{n}\right|
$$

## Greedy SVD reconstruction
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\text { Set } \hat{\Sigma}_{i}= \pm \sqrt{\Lambda}_{i}
$$

2. Fix signs of singular values in decreasing order of magnitude
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## Iteration $i$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{i}^{+}=M_{i-1}+U_{i} \cdot\left|\sum_{i}\right| \cdot V_{i}^{T} \\
& M_{i}^{-}=M_{i-1}-U_{i} \cdot\left|\sum_{i}\right| \cdot V_{i}^{T}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Greedy SVD reconstruction

1. Compute eigenvalue decompositions of L and R to obtain $U, V, \Lambda$
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\text { Set } \quad \hat{\Sigma}_{i}= \pm \sqrt{\Lambda}
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2. Fix signs of singular values in decreasing order of magnitude
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\left|\Sigma_{1}\right| \geq\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \geq \ldots\left|\Sigma_{n}\right|
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## Iteration i :
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## Greedy SVD reconstruction

1. Compute eigenvalue decompositions of L and R to obtain $U, V, \Lambda$

$$
\text { Set } \hat{\Sigma}_{i}= \pm \sqrt{\Lambda}_{i}
$$

2. Fix signs of singular values in decreasing order of magnitude

$$
\left|\Sigma_{1}\right| \geq\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \geq \ldots\left|\Sigma_{n}\right|
$$

## Iteration i :

$$
M_{i}^{+}=M_{i-1}+U_{i} \cdot\left|\sum_{i}\right| \cdot V_{i}^{T} \quad \text { Compute binary matrix by rounding: }
$$

$$
M_{i}^{-}=M_{i-1}-U_{i} \cdot\left|\sum_{i}\right| \cdot V_{i}^{T}
$$

$$
B M_{i}^{+}=\left(M_{i}^{+} \geq t\right)
$$

Choose binary matrix that is closest to its real version

$$
B M_{i}^{-}=\left(M_{i}^{-} \geq t\right)
$$

## Greedy SVD reconstruction

$t=0.5$ Closest binary matrix
$t=0.1$ Predicts mostly 1 s
$t=0.9$ Predicts mostly 0 s

## Iteration $i$ :

$$
M_{i}^{+}=M_{i-1}+U_{i} \cdot\left|\sum_{i}\right| \cdot V_{i}^{T} \quad \text { Compute binary matrix by rounding: }
$$

$$
M_{i}^{-}=M_{i-1}-U_{i} \cdot\left|\sum_{i}\right| \cdot V_{i}^{T}
$$

$$
B M_{i}^{+}=\left(M_{i}^{+} \geq t\right)
$$

Choose binary matrix that is closest to its real

$$
B M_{i}^{-}=\left(M_{i}^{-} \geq t\right)
$$ version
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## Experiments

## Flickr dataset ${ }^{1}$ :

2000 users x 1989 groups
Density 5\%
Users exhibit power law degree distribution
Groups have exponential degree distribution

## Experiments

## Flickr dataset ${ }^{1}$ : 2000 users x 1989 groups Density 5\%

Data is very sparse
estimating M to be all 0 would yield very small error

Relative absolute error
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## Experiments

## Flickr dataset ${ }^{1}$ : 2000 users $\times 1989$ groups

 Density 5\%
$X$ axis: number $k$ of highest magnitude singular values
Y axis: relative absolute error (log scale)


1Zheleva et al. WWW'09

## Experiments

## Flickr dataset ${ }^{1}$ : 2000 users x 1989 groups

 Density 5\%

$$
\|B \hat{M}-M\|
$$

X axis: number k of highest magnitude singular values
Y axis: relative absolute error (log scale)

Matrix M has rank 1989, but with only 900 singular values we can achieve almost perfect reconstruction.
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 UNIVERSITY|  | $\frac{\otimes}{\stackrel{O}{\mathbb{C}}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 응 } \\ & \hline 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{0}{0}$ <br> 0 | $\stackrel{\text { ® }}{\text { ® }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alice | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Bob | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Cecile | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| Dave | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 |


|  | S | 4 | 詈 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alice | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Bob | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Cecile | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Dave | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| M |  |  |  |
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 UNIVERSITY|  | $\stackrel{\text { Q }}{\stackrel{O}{<}}$ | 응 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{0} \\ & \hline 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\text { ® }}{\text { ® }}$ | Alice | ( ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alice | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Bob | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | Bob | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Cecile | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | Cecile | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Dave | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | Dave | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| L |  |  |  |  | M |  |  |  |
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 UNIVERSITY|  | $\stackrel{\text { Q }}{\stackrel{O}{⿺}}$ | 응 | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{0}{0} \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\text { ® }}{\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alice | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Bob | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Cecile | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| Dave | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 |


|  | S | 4 | 詈 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alice | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Bob | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Cecile | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Dave | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| M |  |  |  |
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 UNIVERSITY|  | $\frac{0}{-\frac{0}{<}}$ | -0 | - | $\stackrel{\text { ® }}{\text { ® }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alice | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Bob | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Cecile | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| Dave | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 |


|  | S | 边 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alice | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Bob | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Cecile | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Dave | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| M |  |  |  |

Knowing degrees of nodes carries a lot of extra information


|  | $\frac{.0}{\frac{0}{<}}$ | 응 | $\stackrel{0}{0}$ <br>  | $\stackrel{\text { ® }}{\text { ® }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alice | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Bob | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cecile | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Dave | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 |


|  | , | 近 | 匋 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alice | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Bob | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Cecile | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Dave | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| M |  |  |  |

Knowing degrees of nodes carries a lot of extra information

Obtain L' and R' by setting the main diagonals to 0 .
 UNIVERSITY

|  | $\stackrel{\otimes}{\dot{Z}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O } \\ & \hline 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\stackrel{\text { ® }}{\text { ® }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alice | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Bob | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cecile | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Dave | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 |



Knowing degrees of nodes carries a lot of extra information

Reconstruction problem:
Given L' and R' reconstruct $M$.


## Conclusions

(Bipartite) graphs can be reconstructed from neighborhood data with quite high accuracy.

Often a smaller than rank $(M)$ number of singular values is sufficient.

## Thank You!

