


Classification

Exercise 4



Rule-based Classification



Classification Rules

$(\text{Refund}=\text{Yes}) \implies \text{No}$

$(\text{Refund}=\text{No}, \text{Marital Status}=\{\text{Single}, \text{Divorced}\}, \text{Taxable Income} < 80\text{K}) \implies \text{No}$

$(\text{Refund}=\text{No}, \text{Marital Status}=\{\text{Single}, \text{Divorced}\}, \text{Taxable Income} > 80\text{K}) \implies \text{Yes}$



$(\text{Refund}=\text{No}, \text{Marital Status}=\{\text{Married}\}) \implies \text{No}$

Rules are mutually exclusive and exhaustive

Rule set contains as much information as the tree

Operators: Tree to Rules

- Input Port:
 - Training data (Example Set)
- Output Ports:
 - Classification Model
 - Training data (Example Set)
- Parameters
 - None
- Has a nested process to learn a tree



Operators: Rule Induction

- Input Ports
 - Training data (Example Set)
- Output Ports
 - Classification Model
 - Training data (Example Set)
- Parameters
 - Criterion for selecting split attribute
 - Sample ratio
 - Pureness (min.)
 - Minimal prune benefit

Parameters [X]

Rule Induction

criterion: information_gain [i]

sample ratio: 0.9 [i]

pureness: 0.9 [i]

minimal prune benefit: 0.25 [i]

use local random seed [i]



Rule Model Output

Examples
of class
'good'

Examples
of class
'bad'

RuleModel

```
if checking_status = no checking and other_payment_plans = none then good (303 / 27)
if duration ≤ 17 and property_magnitude = real estate and age > 29.500 then good (1 / 6)
if duration ≤ 20.500 and credit_amount > 1285.500 and housing = own and job = self-employed then good (23 / 4)
if duration ≤ 25 and credit_amount > 1373 and employment = 4<=X<7 and credit_amount > 1000 then good (32 / 4)
if credit_amount ≤ 3913.500 and own_telephone = yes and age > 35.500 then good (1 / 1)
if checking_status = <0 and installment_commitment > 2.500 then bad (43 / 43)
if savings_status = no known savings and property_magnitude = car then good (1 / 1)
if credit_amount ≤ 7391 and purpose = used car then good (20 / 2)
if credit_amount ≤ 4086.500 and credit_amount > 2841 and duration ≤ 40.500 and duration > 22.500 then good (17 / 4)
if duration > 20.500 and age ≤ 28.500 and duration > 25.500 and duration ≤ 54 then bad (4 / 24)
if age ≤ 32.500 and purpose = radio/tv and credit_amount ≤ 1268.500 then good (17 / 1)
if age ≤ 32.500 and job = skilled and duration > 22.500 then good (20 / 5)
if credit_amount > 11025 then bad (3 / 15)
if personal_status = female div/dep/mar and checking_status = 0<=X<200 then bad (13 / 28)
if age ≤ 31.500 and credit_amount > 1289.500 and property_magnitude = car and age > 22.500 then good (15 / 0)
if property_magnitude = car and credit_amount ≤ 2519.500 then bad (1 / 14)
if duration ≤ 16.500 and savings_status = <100 and installment_commitment > 1.500 then good (20 / 2)
if savings_status = <100 and credit_amount ≤ 4256.500 then bad (7 / 19)
if age ≤ 41.500 and age ≤ 28.500 and credit_amount > 1499 then good (9 / 0)
if age > 41.500 and credit_amount > 2597 and duration ≤ 39 then bad (0 / 9)
if personal_status = male single and savings_status = no known savings then good (13 / 1)
if duration > 47.500 and age > 32 then bad (0 / 8)
if credit_amount > 5152.500 and duration > 39 then good (5 / 0)
if age ≤ 30.500 and credit_amount > 1135.500 then bad (0 / 7)
else good (14 / 9)
```

correct: 844 out of 996 training examples.

Balancing

- The number of instances per class affects model learning and evaluation
 - Same as with normalisation, we don't want to emphasize one specific class
 - So, we make sure all classes are evenly distributed
- Example: 9,999 examples for class A, 1 for class B
 - Classify everything as class A has 99.99% Accuracy
 - K-NN with $K \geq 3$ will always predict class A
- Counter-Example: 500 examples for class A and B
 - Classify everything as class A has 50% Accuracy

Operators: Sample / Downsampling in Rapid Miner

- Input Port
 - Example Set
- Output Ports
 - Sampled data (Example Set)
 - Original Example Set
- Parameters
 - Sample (type)
 - Balance data (downsampling)
 - Sample size



Parameters ✕

Sample

sample ⓘ

balance data ⓘ

sample size ⓘ

use local random seed ⓘ


Edit Parameter List: sample size per class ✕

 Edit Parameter List: **sample size per class**
The absolut sample size per class.

class	size
class1	100
class2	100

Upsampling in Rapid Miner


- Goal: Duplicate the examples of the under-represented class
 - Create a filter that only keeps the smaller class
 - Append the result to the original example set
- What's the effect?
 - Better relative distribution of the classes (more balanced)
 - But: no new information from duplicated examples
 - Better: find new examples for the small classes



Balancing is only done for training data!!!

- Otherwise, you would evaluate on duplicate examples!

Never balance your test data!






Additional Evaluation Methods

- Last exercise we looked at Accuracy, Precision & Recall
- We can also measure the cost of classification errors
 - Classifying a good part as broken costs the manufacturing cost
 - Classifying a broken part as good which causes an airplane to crash costs definitely more!
- If we sum up the cost of the errors, we get an estimation of the total cost on the test data


Operators: Performance (Costs)

- Input Port
 - Labelled Example Set
- Output Ports
 - Original Input data
 - Performance Vector
- Parameters
 - Cost Matrix
 - Class Order




Comparing Classification Results

- Receiver-Operating Characteristic (ROC) curve
 - Predictions ordered by confidence
 - Correct predictions increase steepness, incorrect predictions reduce it
 - Random guessing results in diagonal
 - Interpretation: Curve A above B means algorithm A better than B
 - See also: Area Under the Curve (AUC)
- Definitions
 - Only for binominal classification!
 - X-axis: False positive rate (=Fall-out)
 - False positive / actual negative
 - Y-axis: True positive rate (=Recall)
 - True positive / actual positive



Operators: Compare ROC

- Input Port
 - Training data (Example Set)
- Output Port
 - Training data (Example Set)
 - ROC comparison
- Parameters
 - Number of folds (Cross-Validation)
 - Split ratio (Split-Validation)
 - Used if Number of folds = -1
 - ROC bias
 - Optimistic: correct predictions first
 - Pessimistic: wrong predictions first
 - Neutral: alternate



The screenshot shows the 'Parameters' dialog for the 'Compare ROCs' operator. It includes the following settings:

- number of folds:** 10
- split ratio:** 0.7
- sampling type:** stratified sampling
- use local random seed
- use example weights
- roc bias:** optimistic



Operators: Compare ROC (nested process)

- Add learning operators for all models that should be compared

