#### Universität Mannheim - Lehrevaluation der Fakultät für Wirtschaftsinformatik und Wirtschaftsmathematik, FSS 14

Veranstaltung Data Mining I

Lehrperson Prof. Bizer

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 9,35	Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 4,43
B.Sc. IMI	0	0,00		1	1	5,00	
B.Sc. SIT	0	0,00		2	3	15,00	
B.Sc. Business		15,00		3	2	10,00	
Informatics	5	15,00		5	Z	10,00	
B.Sc. Business	0	0,00		4	2	10,00	
Mathematics	0	0,00		•	-	10,00	
Dipl. IMI	0	0,00		5	1	5,00	
Dipl. Computer		0,00		6	1	5,00	
Engineering	-	-,		-		-,	
Dipl. Business	0	0,00		7	2	10,00	
Informatics							
Informatics	0	0,00		8	2	10,00	
Education							
Degree	_			_	_		
Mathematics	0	0,00		9	0	0,00	
Education Degree							
Master	14	70,00		10	0	0,00	
Business	14	70,00		10	0	0,00	
Informatics							
Master	0	0,00		11	0	0,00	
Business	-				-		
Mathematics							
B.Sc. Business	2	10,00		12	0	0,00	
Admin							
B.Sc. Business	0	0,00		>12	0	0,00	
Education	4	<b>5</b> 00					
B. Sc. Economics	1	5,00					
B. Sc.	0	0,00					
Psychology	0	0,00					
Dipl. Business	0	0,00					
Admin	•						
Dipl. Business	0	0,00					
Education		- L					
Dipl. Economics	0	0,00					
Dipl.	0	0,00					
Psychology							
Master	0	0,00					
Business Admin							
Master	0	0,00					
Business							
Education Master	0	0.00	]				
Economics	U	0,00					
Master	0	0,00					
Psychology	0	0,00					
Other	0	0,00					
Missing	0	0,00		Missing	6	30,00	

#### 3-Gender

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 1,75
female	5	25,00	
male	15	75,00	
Missing	0	0,00	

#### 5-Course attendance

Response	Frequency	Percent	t Mean: 1,00
for the first time	20	100,00	
already takingin a previous semester	0	0,00	
Missing	0	0,00	

#### 7-Reasons for absences-lecture

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: -
Scheduling conflicts with other cources	3	15,00	
Lack of time	5	25,00	
Other reasons	5	25,00	
Missing	12	60,00	

#### 9-Reasons for absences-tutorial

Response	Frequency	Percent	: Mean: -
Scheduling conflicts with other cources	3	15,00	
No course credit required	0	0,00	
The lecture is sufficient	1	5,00	
Lack of time	8	40,00	
Missing	11	55,00	

### 11-The instructor explained the educational goals of the course.

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 1,30
1 totally true	14	70,00	
2	6	30,00	
3 partially true	0	0,00	
4	0	0,00	
5 not at all true	0	0,00	
Missing	0	0,00	

#### 4-Exchange student

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 1,00
yes	5	25,00	
Missing	15	75,00	
6-Absences			

••••••••			
Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 1,50
0-3	13	65,00	
4-6	4	20,00	
>6	3	15,00	
Missing	0	0,00	

#### 8-Absences-Tutorial

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 2,55
no tutorial was offered	1	5,00	
0-3	11	55,00	
4-6	4	20,00	
>6	4	20,00	
Missing	0	0,00	

#### 10-Occurrence of agency

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 1,11
0-3	17	85,00	
4-6	0	0,00	
4-0	0	0,00	
>6	1	5,00	
		· •	
Missing	2	10,00	

#### 12-A common theme could be perceived in the course.

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 1,35
1 totally true	13	65,00	
2	7	35,00	
3 partially true	0	0,00	
4	0	0,00	
5 not at all true	0	0,00	
Missing	0	0,00	

#### 13-The course was well organized.

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 1,25
1 totally true	15	75,00	
2	5	25,00	
3 partially true	0	0,00	
4	0	0,00	
5 not at all true	0	0,00	
Missing	0	0,00	

#### 15-The pace of the course was appropriate.

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 1,30
1 totally true	15	75,00	
2	4	20,00	
3 partially true	1	5,00	
4	0	0,00	
5 not at all true	0	0,00	
Missing	0	0,00	

### 17-The course content was illustrated through the use of examples.

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 1,50
1 totally true	12	60,00	
2	6	30,00	
3 partially true	2	10,00	
4	0	0,00	
5 not at all true	0	0,00	
Missing	0	0,00	

#### 19-There were opportunities to ask questions.

Response	Frequency	Percent	: Mean: 1,15
1 totally true	18	90,00	
2	1	5,00	
3 partially true	1	5,00	
4	0	0,00	
5 not at all true	0	0,00	
Missing	0	0,00	

### 21-The instructor tried to make sure if students understood the explanations.

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 1,50
1 totally true	10	50,00	
2	10	50,00	
3 partially true	0	0,00	
4	0	0,00	
5 not at all true	0	0,00	
Missing	0	0,00	

## 14-The structure of the lecture facilitated the acquisition of new material.

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 1,32
1 totally true	13	65,00	
2	6	30,00	
3 partially true	0	0,00	
4	0	0,00	
5 not at all true	0	0,00	
Missing	1	5,00	

#### 16-The lectures were clear and comprehensible.

Response	Frequency	Percent	: Mean: 1,60
1 totally true	12	60,00	
2	5	25,00	
3 partially true	2	10,00	
4	1	5,00	
5 not at all true	0	0,00	
Missing	0	0,00	

### 18-Summaries and repetition helped me to remember the course material.

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 2,11
1 totally true	5	25,00	
2	8	40,00	
3 partially true	5	25,00	
4	1	5,00	
5 not at all true	0	0,00	
Missing	1	5,00	

#### 20-The instructor made an effort to answer questions precisely.

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 1,42
1 totally true	12	60,00	
2	6	30,00	
3 partially true	1	5,00	
4	0	0,00	
5 not at all true	0	0,00	
Missing	1	5,00	

#### 22-Information on the board/screen was legible.

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 1,37
1 totally true	12	60,00	
2	7	35,00	
3 partially true	0	0,00	
4	0	0,00	
5 not at all true	0	0,00	
Missing	1	5,00	

### 23-Information on the board/screen increased my understanding of the course content.

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 1,42
1 totally true	12	60,00	
2	6	30,00	
3 partially true	1	5,00	
4	0	0,00	
5 not at all true	0	0,00	
Missing	1	5,00	

### 25-Additional documents and downloads (i.e. copies, scripts, recordings) were helpful learning tools.

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 1,80
1 totally true	10	50,00	
2	5	25,00	
3 partially true	4	20,00	
4	1	5,00	
5 not at all true	0	0,00	
Missing	0	0,00	

## 27-The recommended literature helped my learning process.

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 2,06
1 totally true	7	35,00	
2	5	25,00	
3 partially true	4	20,00	
4	2	10,00	
5 not at all true	0	0,00	
Missing	2	10,00	

#### 29-The instructor always seemed to be well prepared.

Response	Frequency	Percent	: Mean: 1,25
1 totally true	16	80,00	
2	3	15,00	
3 partially true	1	5,00	
4	0	0,00	
5 not at all true	0	0,00	
Missing	0	0,00	

### 31-The instructor was willing to tailor lessons to students' academic interests.

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 1,53
1 totally true	12	60,00	
2	4	20,00	
3 partially true	3	15,00	
4	0	0,00	
5 not at all true	0	0,00	
Missing	1	5,00	

## 24-The use of classroom technology (not including overhead/board) was helpful.

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 1,35
1 totally true	14	70,00	
2	5	25,00	
3 partially true	1	5,00	
4	0	0,00	
5 not at all true	0	0,00	
Missing	0	0,00	

26-The recommended literature was available.

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 1,72
1 totally true	10	50,00	
2	4	20,00	
3 partially true	3	15,00	
4	1	5,00	
5 not at all true	0	0,00	
Missing	2	10,00	

## 28-The instructor's diction/manner of speaking was clear.

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 2,00
1 totally true	11	55,00	
2	3	15,00	
3 partially true	2	10,00	
4	3	15,00	
5 not at all true	1	5,00	
Missing	0	0,00	

### **30-I** had the impression that the instructor truly enjoyed teaching.

	-		
Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 1,35
1 totally true	14	70,00	
2	5	25,00	
3 partially true	1	5,00	
4	0	0,00	
5 not at all true	0	0,00	
Missing	0	0,00	

### 32-The lecture fostered my interest in the course content.

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 1,60
1 totally true	11	55,00	
2	7	35,00	
3 partially true	1	5,00	
4	1	5,00	
5 not at all true	0	0,00	
Missing	0	0,00	

### 33-The relationship to other courses was demonstrated.

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 2,00
1 totally true	8	40,00	
2	6	30,00	
3 partially true	4	20,00	
4	2	10,00	
5 not at all true	0	0,00	
Missing	0	0,00	

### 35-The relevance of the course to educational goals was made explicit.

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 1,65
1 totally true	9	45,00	
2	9	45,00	
3 partially true	2	10,00	
4	0	0,00	
5 not at all true	0	0,00	
Missing	0	0,00	

#### 37-Ich habe Fragen gestellt.

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 1,85
yes	3	15,00	
no	17	85,00	

#### Missing 0 0,00

### 39-weekly amount of time you spent preparing for and reviewi

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 2,50
No time at all	4	20,00	
up to 2 hours	7	35,00	
between 2 and 4 hours	6	30,00	
between 4 and 6 hours	2	10,00	
between 6 and 8 hours	0	0,00	
more than 8 hours	1	5,00	
Missing	0	0,00	

### 34-The course topic was well integrated with other courses.

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 2,20
1 totally true	8	40,00	
2	3	15,00	
3 partially true	7	35,00	
4	1	5,00	
5 not at all true	1	5,00	
Missing	0	0,00	

## 36-I feel that the course content was important for my future career.

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 1,75
1 totally true	11	55,00	
2	5	25,00	
3 partially true	2	10,00	
4	2	10,00	
5 not at all true	0	0,00	
Missing	0	0,00	

#### 38-If not why not

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: -
My own limited knowledge	1	5,00	
Lack of self-confidence	2	10,00	
l already understood everything	7	35,00	
My questions had already been asked by other classmates	3	15,00	
I attempted to find the answers myself	8	40,00	
after class Missing	3	15,00	

### 40-weekly amount of time you spent completing worksheets

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 2,30
No time at all	4	20,00	
up to 2 hours	10	50,00	
between 2 and 4 hours	4	20,00	
between 4 and 6 hours	1	5,00	
between 6 and 8 hours	0	0,00	
more than 8 hours	1	5,00	
Missing	0	0,00	

### 41-The lecture course increased my subject knowledge.

Response	Frequency	Percent	t Mean: 1,30
1 totally true	15	75,00	
2	4	20,00	
3 partially true	1	5,00	
4	0	0,00	
5 not at all true	0	0,00	
Missing	0	0,00	

#### 43-I understood the course content.

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 1,70
1 totally true	9	45,00	
2	10	50,00	
3 partially true	0	0,00	
4	0	0,00	
5 not at all true	1	5,00	
Missing	0	0,00	

### 45-My previous knowledge was sufficient for mastering the course content.

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 1,45
1 totally true	13	65,00	
2	5	25,00	
3 partially true	2	10,00	
4	0	0,00	
5 not at all true	0	0,00	
Missing	0	0,00	

### 47-The size of the room was appropriate for the course.

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 1,05
1 totally true	19	95,00	
2	1	5,00	
3 partially true	0	0,00	
4	0	0,00	
5 not at all true	0	0,00	
Missing	0	0,00	

#### 49-The room fixtures (chairs, tables, ventilation, light, etc.) were good.

Response	Frequency	Percent	: Mean: 1,55
1 totally true	13	65,00	
2	3	15,00	
3 partially true	4	20,00	
4	0	0,00	
5 not at all true	0	0,00	
Missing	0	0,00	

#### 42-I enjoyed attending the lecture course.

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 1,65
1 totally true	11	55,00	
2	7	35,00	
3 partially true	0	0,00	
4	2	10,00	
5 not at all true	0	0,00	
Missing	0	0,00	

### 44-I would rate the lecture course on a scale of 1 (very good) to 6 (very poor).

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 1,65
1 very good	10	50,00	
2	7	35,00	
3	3	15,00	
4	0	0,00	
5	0	0,00	
6 very poor	0	0,00	
Missing	0	0,00	

# 46-The technical equipment (overhead, board, projector, microphone) was ready for use when necessary.

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 1,15
1 totally true	17	85,00	
2	3	15,00	
3 partially true	0	0,00	
4	0	0,00	
5 not at all true	0	0,00	
Missing	0	0,00	

#### 48-The level of background noise in the classroom was tolerable.

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 1,40
1 totally true	14	70,00	
2	4	20,00	
3 partially true	2	10,00	
4	0	0,00	
5 not at all true	0	0,00	
Missing	0	0,00	