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## Anomaly Detection

- Also known as "Outlier Detection"
- Automatically identify data points that are somehow different from the rest
- Working assumption:
- There are considerably more "normal" observations than "abnormal" observations (outliers/anomalies) in the data
- Challenges
- How many outliers are there in the data?
- What do they look like?
- Method is unsupervised
- Validation can be quite challenging (just like for clustering)


## Recap: Errors in Data

- Sources
- malfunctioning sensors
- errors in manual data processing (e.g., twisted digits)
- storage/transmission errors
- encoding problems, misinterpreted file formats
- bugs in processing code
- ...



## Recap: Errors in Data

- Simple remedy
- remove data points outside a given interval
- this requires some domain knowledge

- Advanced remedies
- automatically find suspicious data points

| 目 Parameters $\& \bigcirc$ Context $\mathbb{S}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| P Filter Examples |  |
| condition class | attribute_value_filter |
| parameter string | Temperature $>30$ \& \& Temperature $<100$ |
| $\square$ invert filter |  |

## Applications: Data Preprocessing

- Data preprocessing
- removing erroneous data
- removing true, but useless deviations
- Example: tracking people down using their GPS data
- GPS values might be wrong
- person may be on holidays in Hawaii
- what would be the result of a kNN classifier?



## Applications: Credit Card Fraud Detection

- Data: transactions for one customer
- €15.10 Amazon
- €12.30 Deutsche Bahn tickets, Mannheim central station
- €18.28 Edeka Mannheim
- \$500.00 Cash withdrawal. Dubai Intl. Airport
- €48.51 Gas station Heidelberg
- €21.50 Book store Mannheim
- Goal: identify unusual transactions
- possible attributes: location, amount, currency, ...


## Applications: Hardware Failure Detection


collected data from one 10Gig Ethernet SR interface @ man-da

Thomas Weible: An Optic's Life (2010).

## Applications: Stock Monitoring

- Stock market prediction
- Computer trading


## The plunge of May 6



Source: Thomson Reuters

http://blogs.reuters.com/reuters-investigates/2010/10/15/flash-crash-fallout/
Heiko Paulheim

## Errors vs. Natural Outliers

## Ozone Depletion History

- In 1985 three researchers (Farman, Gardinar and Shanklin) were puzzled by data gathered by the British Antarctic Survey showing that ozone levels for Antarctica had dropped 10\% below normal levels
- Why did the Nimbus 7 satellite, which had instruments aboard for recording ozone levels, not record similarly low ozone concentrations?
- The ozone concentrations recorded by the satellite were so low they were being treated as outliers by a computer program and discarded!


Sources:
http://exploringdata.cqu.edu.au/ozone.html http://www.epa.gov/ozone/science/hole/size.html

## Errors, Outliers, Anomalies, Novelties...

- What are we looking for?
- Wrong data values (errors)
- Unusual observations (outliers or anomalies)
- Observations not in line with previous observations (novelties)
- Unsupervised Setting:
- Data contains both normal and outlier points
- Task: compute outlier score for each data point
- Supervised setting:
- Training data is considered normal
- Train a model to identify outliers in test dataset


## Methods for Anomaly Detection

- Graphical
- Look at data, identify suspicious observations
- Statistic
- Identify statistical characteristics of the data
- e.g., mean, standard deviation
- Find data points which do not follow those characteristics
- Density-based
- Consider distributions of data
- Dense regions are considered the "normal" behavior
- Model-based
- Fit an explicit model to the data
- Identify points which do not behave according to that model


## Anomaly Detection Schemes

- General Steps
- Build a profile of the "normal" behavior
- Profile can be patterns or summary statistics for the overall population
- Use the "normal" profile to detect anomalies
- Anomalies are observations whose characteristics differ significantly from the normal profile
- Types of anomaly detection schemes
- Graphical \& Statistical-based
- Distance-based
- Model-based



## Graphical Approaches

- Boxplot (1-D), Scatter plot (2-D), Spin plot (3-D)
- Limitations
- Time consuming
- Subjective



## Convex Hull Method

- Extreme points are assumed to be outliers
- Use convex hull method to detect extreme values

- What if the outlier occurs in the middle of the data?


## Interpretation: What is an Outlier?



## Statistical Approaches

- Assume a parametric model describing the distribution of the data (e.g., normal distribution)
- Apply a statistical test that depends on
- Data distribution
- Parameter of distribution (e.g., mean, variance)
- Number of expected outliers (confidence limit)



## Interquartile Range

- Divides data in quartiles
- Definitions:
- Q1: $x \geq$ Q1 holds for $75 \%$ of all $x$
- Q3: $x \geq$ Q3 holds for $25 \%$ of all $x$
- IQR = Q3-Q1
- Outlier detection:
- All values outside [median-1.5*IQR ; median+1.5*IQR]
- Example:
- 0,1,1,3,3,5,7,42 $\rightarrow$ median $=3$, Q1 $=1$, Q3 $=7 \rightarrow$ IQR $=6$
- Allowed interval: [3-1.5*6;3+1.5*6] = [-6; 12]
- Thus, 42 is an outlier


## Interquartile Range

- Assumes a normal distribution




## Interquartile Range

- Visualization in box plot



## Median Absolute Deviation (MAD)

- MAD is the median deviation from the median of a sample, i.e.

$$
M A D:=\text { median }_{i}\left(X_{i}-\operatorname{median}_{j}\left(X_{j}\right)\right)
$$

- MAD can be used for outlier detection
- all values that are $\mathrm{k}^{*}$ MAD away from the median are considered to be outliers
- e.g., k=3
- Example:
- 0,1,1,3,5,7,42 $\rightarrow$ median $=3$
- deviations: $3,2,2,0,2,4,39 \rightarrow$ MAD $=2$
- allowed interval: [3-3*2;3+3*2] $=[-3 ; 9]$
- therefore, 42 is an outlier


## Fitting Elliptic Curves

- Multi-dimensional datasets
- can be seen as following a normal distribution on each dimension
- the intervals in one-dimensional cases become elliptic curves
- In Python: covariance.EllipticEnvelope

Mahalanobis distances of a contaminated data set:


## Limitations of Statistical Approaches

- Most of the tests are for a single attribute (called: univariate)
- For high dimensional data, it may be difficult to estimate the true distribution
- In many cases, the data distribution may not be known
- e.g., IQR Test: assumes Gaussian distribution


## Examples for Distributions

- Normal (gaussian) distribution
- e.g., people's height



## Examples for Distributions

- Power law distribution
- e.g., city population

Batangas 2000 Population, by City/Municipality

http://www.jmc2007compendium.com/V2-ATAPE-P-12.php

## Examples for Distributions

- Pareto distribution
- e.g., wealth

FIGURE I
Wealth Distribution in the United States - 2003
(married households headed by a 60-69 year old)


Source: Authors' calculations from the 2004 Survey of Consumer Finances.
http://www.ncpa.org/pub/st289?pg=3

## Examples for Distributions

- Uniform distribution
- e.g., distribution of web server requests across an hour


## Arrival Time of HTTP Requests Within Hour



## Outliers vs. Extreme Values

- So far, we have looked at extreme values only
- But outliers can occur as non-extremes
- In that case, methods like IQR fail



## Outliers vs. Extreme Values

- IQR on the example below:
- Q2 (Median) is 0
- Q1 is -1, Q3 is 1
$\rightarrow$ everything outside $[-1.5,+1.5]$ is an outlier
$\rightarrow$ there are no outliers in this example
$\qquad$


## Time for a Short Break



BUT YOU SPEND TWICE AS MUCH TIME WITH ME AS WITH ANYONE ELSE. I'M A CIEAR OUTUER.

YOUR MATH IS IRREFUTABLE.

FACE IT-I'M YOUR STATISTICALLY SIGNIFICANT OTHER.


## Distance-based Approaches

- Data is represented as a vector of features
- Various approaches
- Nearest-neighbor based
- Density based
- Clustering based
- Model based


## Nearest-Neighbor Based Approach

- Approach:
- Compute the distance between every pair of data points
- There are various ways to define outliers:
- Data points for which there are fewer than $p$ neighboring points within a distance $D$
- The top n data points whose distance to the $\mathrm{k}^{\text {th }}$ nearest neighbor is greatest RapidMiner
The top n data points whose average distance to the k nearest neighbors is greatest


## Package PyOD




## Density-based: LOF approach

- For each point, compute the density of its local neighborhood
- if that density is higher than the average density, the point is in a cluster
- if that density is lower than the average density, the point is an outlier
- Compute local outlier factor (LOF) of a point A
- ratio of average density of A's neighbors to density of point A
- Outliers are points with large LOF value
- typical: larger than 1


## LOF: Illustration

- Using 3 nearest neighbors
- We compute
- the average density of $A$
- the average density of A's neighbors
- If the density of $A$ is lower than the neighbors' density
- A might be an outlier


## LOF: Defining Density

- LOF uses a concept called "reachability distance"
- All points within the k-neighborhood have the same k-distance
- in the example: $d_{3}(A, B)=d_{3}(A, C)$
- Reachability distance $\operatorname{rd}_{k}(A, B)$ :
- distance of $A, B$, lower bound by $d_{k}(B)$
- $\operatorname{rd}_{k}(A, B)=\max \left(d_{k}(B)\right.$,distance $\left.(A, B)\right)$
- In the example:
$-r_{k}(D, A)=d(D, A)$, but
$-\operatorname{rd}_{k}(C, A)=k$-distance $(A)$
- Rationale: all sufficiently close points are regarded as equally close
- lessens the impact of small variations


## LOF: Defining Density

- Average reachability distance

$$
-\quad \operatorname{avgrd}_{k}(A)=\frac{\sum_{p: \text { Rnearesteqeqhorosof } A} r d_{k}(A, P)}{\left|N_{k}(A)\right|}
$$

- Density is defined as the inverse
- idea: the larger the avg. reachability distance, the sparser the region in which the data point lies

- local reachability density $\operatorname{lrb}_{k}(A)=1 / \operatorname{avgrd}_{k}(A)$
- Local outlier factor: relation of density of A's neighbors to A's density:

$$
\left.L O F_{k}(A)=\frac{\sum_{P: k n e a r e s t ~ n e i g h o o r s ~ o f ~}}{} \frac{\operatorname{lrb}_{k}(P)}{\left|N_{k}(A)\right|}=\frac{\sum_{k}(A)}{\mid N_{k}(A) \text { neerest neighors of } A} \right\rvert\, \operatorname{lrb}_{k}(P)
$$

## Nearest-Neighbor vs. LOF

- With $k N N$, only $p_{1}$ is found as an outlier
- there are enough near neighbors for $\mathrm{p}_{2}$ in cluster $\mathrm{C}_{2}$
- With LOF, both $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$ are found as outliers



## Recap: DBSCAN

- DBSCAN is a density-based algorithm
- Density = number of points within a specified radius (Eps)
- Divides data points in three classes:
- A point is a core point if it has more than a specified number of points (MinPts) within Eps
- These are points that are at the interior of a cluster
- A border point has fewer than MinPts within Eps, but is in the neighborhood of a core point
- A noise point is any point that is not a core point or a border point


## Recap: DBSCAN



## Recap: DBSCAN



Original Points

Point types: core, border and noise

Eps $=10$, MinPts $=4$

## DBSCAN for Outlier Detection

- DBSCAN directly identifies noise points
- these are outliers not belonging to any cluster
- in RapidMiner: assigned to cluster 0
- in scikit-learn: label -1
- allows for performing outlier detection directly



## Clustering-based Outlier Detection

- Basic idea:
- Cluster the data into groups of different density
- Choose points in small cluster as candidate outliers
- Compute the distance between candidate points and non-candidate clusters
- If candidate points are far from all other non-candidate points, they are outliers



## Clustering-based Local Outlier Factor

- Idea: anomalies are data points that are
- in a very small cluster or
- far away from other clusters
- CBLOF is run on clustered data
- Assigns a score based on
- the size of the cluster a data point is in

- the distance of the data point to the next large cluster


## Clustering-based Local Outlier Factor

- General process:
- first, run a clustering algorithm (of your choice)
- then, apply CBLOF


## Package PyOD

- Result: data points with outlier score


| (-) Data View $\bigcirc$ Meta Data View Plot View Advanced Charts Annotations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ExampleSet (208 examples, 4 special attributes, 60 regular attributes) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Row No. | cluster | cla |  | id | outlier | attribute_1 | attribute_2 | attribute_3 | attribute_4 | attribute_5 | attribute_6 | attribute_7 |
| 1 | cluster_0 | Rock | 1 |  | 38.516 | 0.020 | 0.037 | 0.043 | 0.021 | 0.095 | 0.099 | 0.154 |
| 2 | cluster_2 | Rock | 2 |  | 65.452 | 0.045 | 0.052 | 0.084 | 0.069 | 0.118 | 0.258 | 0.216 |
| 3 | cluster_2 | Rock | 3 |  | 75.490 | 0.026 | 0.058 | 0.110 | 0.108 | 0.097 | 0.228 | 0.243 |
| 4 | cluster_0 | Rock | 4 |  | 45.112 | 0.010 | 0.017 | 0.062 | 0.020 | 0.020 | 0.037 | 0.110 |
| 5 | cluster_2 | Rock | 5 |  | 68.759 | 0.076 | 0.067 | 0.048 | 0.039 | 0.059 | 0.065 | 0.121 |
| 6 | cluster_2 | Rock | 6 |  | 69.133 | 0.029 | 0.045 | 0.028 | 0.017 | 0.038 | 0.099 | 0.120 |
| 7 | cluster_2 | Rock | 7 |  | 65.306 | 0.032 | 0.096 | 0.132 | 0.141 | 0.167 | 0.171 | 0.073 |
| 8 | cluster_3 | Rock | 8 |  | 48.851 | 0.052 | 0.055 | 0.084 | 0.032 | 0.116 | 0.092 | 0.103 |
| 9 | cluster_3 | Rock | 9 |  | 52.493 | 0.022 | 0.038 | 0.048 | 0.048 | 0.065 | 0.059 | 0.075 |
| 10 | cluster_3 | Rock | 10 |  | 48.437 | 0.016 | 0.017 | 0.035 | 0.007 | 0.019 | 0.067 | 0.106 |
| 11 | cluster_1 | Rock | 11 |  | 68.168 | 0.004 | 0.006 | 0.015 | 0.034 | 0.031 | 0.028 | 0.040 |
| 12 | cluster_3 | Rock | 12 |  | 46.765 | 0.012 | 0.031 | 0.017 | 0.031 | 0.036 | 0.010 | 0.018 |
| 13 | cluster_2 | Rock | 13 |  | 56.138 | 0.008 | 0.009 | 0.006 | 0.025 | 0.034 | 0.055 | 0.053 |
| 14 | cluster_1 | Rock | 14 |  | 69.857 | 0.009 | 0.006 | 0.025 | 0.049 | 0.120 | 0.159 | 0.139 |
| 15 | cluster_1 | Rock | 15 |  | 84.639 | 0.012 | 0.043 | 0.060 | 0.045 | 0.060 | 0.036 | 0.053 |
| 16 | cluster_0 | Rock | 16 |  | 44.131 | 0.030 | 0.062 | 0.065 | 0.092 | 0.162 | 0.229 | 0.218 |
| 17 | cluster_0 | Rock | 17 |  | 33.057 | 0.035 | 0.012 | 0.019 | 0.047 | 0.074 | 0.118 | 0.168 |

## PCA and Reconstruction Error

- Recap: PCA tries to capture most dominant variations in the data
- those can be seen as the "normal" behavior
- Reconstruct original data point by inversing PCA
- close to original: normally behaving data point
- far from original: unnormally behaving data point



## Model-based Outlier Detection (ALSO)

- Idea: there is a model underlying the data
- Data points deviating from the model are outliers



## Model-based Outlier Detection (ALSO)

- ALSO (Attribute-wise Learning for Scoring Outliers)
- Learn a model for each attribute given all other attributes
- Use model to predict expected value
- Deviation between actual and predicted value $\rightarrow$ outlier



## Interpretation: What is an Outlier? (recap)



## Model-based Outlier Detection (ALSO)

- For each data point $i$, compute vector of predictions $i^{\prime}$
- Outlier score: Euclidean distance of $i$ and $i^{\prime}$
- in z-transformed space

$$
o_{\text {unweighted }}(i):=\sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(i_{k}-i_{k}^{\prime}\right)^{2}}
$$

- Refinement: assign weights to attributes
- given the strength of the pattern learned
- measure: RRSE
- Rationale:

$$
o(i):=\sqrt{\frac{1}{\sum_{k=1}^{n} w_{k}} \sum_{k=1}^{n} w_{k} \cdot\left(i_{k}-i_{k}^{\prime}\right)^{2}},
$$

- ignores deviations on unpredictable attributes (e.g., database IDs)
- for an outlier, require both a strong pattern and a strong deviation


## One-Class Support Vector Machines

- Recap: Support Vector Machines
- Find a maximum margin hyperplane to separate two classes
- Use a transformation of the vector space
- Thus, non-linear boundaries can be found



## One-Class Support Vector Machines

- One-Class Support Vector Machines
- Find best hyperplane that separates the origin from the rest of the data
- Maximize margin
- Minimize errors
- Points on the same side as the origin are outliers

- Recap: SVMs require extensive parameter tunining
- Difficult to automatize for anomaly detection, since we have no training data


## Isolation Forests

- Isolation tree:
- a decision tree that has only leaves with one example each
- Isolation forests:
- train a set of random isolation trees
- Idea:
- path to outliers in a tree is shorter than path to normal points
- across a set of random trees, average path length is an outlier score



## Isolation Forest

- Training a single isolation tree
- for each leaf node w/ more than one data point
- pick an attribute Att and a value V at random
- create inner node with test $\mathrm{Att}<\mathrm{V}$
- train isolation tree for each subtree
- Output
- A tree with just one instance per node
- Usually, an upper limit on height is used



## Isolation Forest

- Probability of $(0,0)$ ending in a leaf at height 1
- pick Att X, pick V<0.52
$X<0.52$
$(0,0)$



## Isolation Forest

- Probability of $(0,0)$ ending in a leaf at height 1
- pick Att Y, pick $\mathrm{V}<0.62$




## Isolation Forest

- Probability of $(0,0)$ ending in a leaf at height 1 0.5 pick Att $X$, pick $V<0.52$, or
- $0.5^{*} 0.52+0.5^{*} 0.62$
$\rightarrow 0.57$



## Isolation Forest

- Probability of $(0.74,1)$ ending in a leaf at height 1
- pick Att Y , pick $\mathrm{V}>0.91$
- 0.5 * 0.09

$$
\rightarrow 0.045
$$

$$
\mathrm{Y}<0.91
$$



## Isolation Forest

- Probability of $(1,0.9)$ ending in a leaf at height 1
- pick Att $X$, pick $V>0.98$
- 0.5 * 0.02

$$
\rightarrow 0.01
$$

$$
X<0.99
$$



## Isolation Forest

- Probability of any other data point ending in a leaf at height 1
- this is not possible!
- at least two tests are necessary



## Isolation Forest

- Observations
- data points in dense areas need more tests
- i.e., they end up deeper in the trees
- data points far away from



## High-Dimensional Spaces

- A large number of attributes may cause problems
- many anomaly detection approaches use distance measures
- those get problematic for very high-dimensional spaces
- meaningless attributes obscure the distances
- Practical hint:
- perform dimensionality reduction first
- i.e., feature subset selection, PCA
- note: anomaly detection is unsupervised
- thus, supervised selection (like forward/backward selection) does not work


## High-Dimensional Spaces

- Recap: attributes may have different scales
- Hence, different attributes may have different contributions to outlier scores
- Compare the following two datasets:
- Baden-Württemberg
- population $=10,569,111$
- area $=35,751.65 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$
- Bavaria
- population $=12,519,571$
- area $=70,549.44 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$
- Baden-Württemberg
- population $=10,569,111$
- area $=35,751,650,000 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$
- Bavaria
- population $=12,519,571$
- area $=70,549,440,000 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$


## High-Dimensional Spaces

- Baden-Württemberg
- population $=10,569,111$
- area $=35,751.65 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$
- Bavaria
- population $=12,519,571$
- area $=70,549.44 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$
- Baden-Württemberg
- population $=10,569,111$
- area $=35,751,650,000 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$
- Bavaria
- population $=12,519,571$
- area $=70,549,440,000 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$
- ...
- In the second set, outliers in the population are unlikely to be discovered
- Even if we change the population of Bavaria by a factor of 100 , the Euclidean distance does not change much
- Thus, outliers in the population are masked by the area attribute


## High-Dimensional Spaces

- Solution:
- Normalization!
- Advised:
- z-Transformation

$$
x^{\prime}=\frac{|x-\mu|}{\sigma}
$$

- More robust w.r.t. outliers than simple projection to $[0 ; 1]$



## Evaluation Measures

- Anomaly Detection is an unsupervised task
- Evaluation: usually on a labeled subsample
- Evaluation Measures:
- F-measure on outliers
- Area under ROC curve
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- Plots false positives against true positives



## Evaluation Measures

- Anomaly Detection is an unsupervised task
- Evaluation: usually on a labeled subsample
- Note: no splitting into training and test data!
- Evaluation Measures:
- F-measure on outliers
- Area under ROC curve
- Plots false positives against true positives



## Semi-Supervised Anomaly Detection

- All approaches discussed so far are unsupervised
- they run fully automatic
- without human intelligence
- Semi-supervised anomaly detection
- experts manually label some data points as being outliers or not
$\rightarrow$ anomaly detection becomes similar to a classification task
- the class label being outlier/non-outlier
- Challenges:
- Outliers are scarce $\rightarrow$ unbalanced dataset
- Outliers are not a class


## Example: Outlier Detection in DBpedia

- DBpedia

- extracts data from infoboxes in Wikipedia
- based on crowd-sourced mappings to an ontology
- Example
- Wikipedia page on Michael Jordan

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { dbpedia:Michael_Jordan } \\
& \text { dbpedia-owl:height } \\
& \text { "1.981200"^^xsd:double . }
\end{aligned}
$$



## Example: Outlier Detection in DBpedia

- DBpedia is based on heuristic extraction
- Several things can go wrong
- wrong data in Wikipedia
- unexpected number/date formats
- errors in the extraction code
- ...
- Can we use anomaly detection to remedy the problem?


## Example: Outlier Detection in DBpedia

- Challenge
- Wikipedia is made for humans, not machines
- Input format in Wikipedia is not constrained
- The following are all valid representations of the same height value (and perfectly understandable by humans)
- 6 ft 6 in, 6 ft 6in, $6^{\prime} 6^{\prime \prime}, 6^{\prime} 6^{\prime \prime}, 6^{\prime} 6^{\prime \prime}, \ldots$
- $1.98 \mathrm{~m}, 1,98 \mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{~m} 98,1 \mathrm{~m} 98 \mathrm{~cm}, 198 \mathrm{~cm}, 198 \mathrm{~cm}, \ldots$
- $6 \mathrm{ft} 6 \mathrm{in}(198 \mathrm{~cm}), 6 \mathrm{ft}$ 6in (1.98m), 6'6'' (1.98 m),...
- 6 ft 6 in ${ }^{[1]}, 6 \mathrm{ft} 6$ in ${ }^{\text {[citation needed] }, \ldots}$
- ...


## Example: Outlier Detection in DBpedia

- Preprocessing: split data for different types
- height is used for persons or buildings
- population is used for villages, cities, countries, and continents
- Separate into single distributions
- makes anomaly detection better
- Result
- errors are identified at $\sim 90 \%$ precision
- systematic errors in the extraction code can be found


## Example: Outlier Detection in DBpedia

- Footprint of a systematic error


Dominik Wienand, Heiko Paulheim:
Detecting Incorrect Numerical Data in DBpedia. In: ESWC 2014

## Example: Outlier Detection in DBpedia

- Typical error sources
- unit conversions gone wrong (e.g., imperial/metric)
- misinterpretation of numbers
- e.g., village Semaphore in Australia
- population: 28,322,006
(all of Australia: 23,379,555!)
- a clear outlier among villages



## Errors vs. Natural Outliers

- Hard task for a machine
- e.g., an adult person 58 cm high
- e.g., a 7.4 m high vehicle


Dominik Wienand, Heiko Paulheim:
Detecting Incorrect Numerical Data in DBpedia. In: ESWC 2014

## Wrap-up

- Anomaly Detection is useful for
- data preprocessing and cleansing
- finding suspect data (e.g., network intrusion, credit card fraud)
- Methods
- visual/manual
- statistics based
- density based
- model based
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