

#### IE650 Knowledge Graphs





- Back to the lecture on RDF Schema
- We have the following statement:
  - "Madrid is the capital of Spain."
- We can get the following information:
  - "Madrid is the capital of Spain."  $\checkmark$
  - − "Spain is a state." ✓
  - − "Madrid is a city." ✓
  - "Madrid is located in Spain."  $\checkmark$
  - "Barcelona is not the capital of Spain." imes
  - "Madrid is not the capital of France." imes
  - "Madrid is not a state." ×





- What we cannot express (up to now):
  - "Every state has exactly one capital"
    - Property cardinalities
  - "Every city can only be the capital of one state."
    - Functional properties
  - "A city cannot be a state at the same time."
    - Class disjointness
  - ...
- For those, we need more expressive languages than RDFS!





- We have learned about ontologies
  - and RDF Schema as a language for building simple ontologies
- With RDF Schema, we can express some knowledge about a domain
  - but not everything, e.g., cardinalities
  - we cannot produce contradictions
  - we cannot circumvent the Non Unique Naming Assumption
  - we cannot circumvent the Open World Assumption
  - ...



## Semantic Web Technology Stack





University of Mannheim | IE650 Knowledge Graphs | Web Ontology Language (OWL) | Version 1.09.2024 Berners-Lee (2009): *Semantic Web and Linked Data* <u>http://www.w3.org/2009/Talks/0120-campus-party-tbl/</u>



• Hey, wait...





- More powerful than RDF Schema
- W3C Standard (2004), OWL2 (2009)
- Trade-off:
  - Expressive power
  - Complexity of reasoning
  - Decidability
- Solution: different variants of OWL, e.g.,
  - OWL Lite, OWL DL, OWL Full
  - Profiles in OWL2





#### • Three variants

- Increasing expressive power
- Backwards compatible
  - Each OWL Lite ontology is valid in OWL DL and OWL Full
  - Each OWL DL ontology is valid in OWL Full



#### **OWL and RDF Schema**



- Both are based on RDF
  - OWL ontologies can also be expressed in RDF
  - As triples or in XML notation
- Compatibility
  - OWL Lite and OWL DL are not fully compatible to RDF Schema
    - But reuse some parts of RDF Schema
  - OWL Full and RDF Schema are fully compatible

#### **OWL: Classes**



- **Basic concept (**owl:Class)
- Subclasses as we know them from RDFS: rdfs:subClassOf
  - In particular, the following holds:
     owl:Class rdfs:subClassOf rdfs:Class .
- Two predefined classes:
  - owl:Thing
  - owl:Nothing
- For each class c, the following axioms hold:
  - c rdfs:subClassOf owl:Thing .
  - owl:Nothing rdfs:subClassOf c .

University of Mannheim | IE650 Knowledge Graphs | Web Ontology Language (OWL) | Version 1.09.2024

#### **OWL: Classes**



• Classes can be intersections of others:

:SwimmingMammals owl:intersectionOf
 (:SwimmingAnimals :Mammals) .

- There are also set unions and set differences
  - But not in OWL Lite

#### **OWL: Properties**



• RDF Schema does not distinguish literal and object valued properties:

:name a rdf:Property .

:name rdfs:range xsd:string .

:knows a rdf:Property .

- :knows rdfs:range foaf:Person .
- Without specifying the range, "dual use" of an RDF property is not forbidden:
  - :peter :knows :john .
  - :peter :knows "mary"^^xsd:string .

#### **OWL: Properties**



- RDF Schema does not distinguish literal and object valued properties:
  - :name a rdf:Property .
  - :name rdfs:range xsd:string .
  - :knows a rdf:Property .
  - :knows rdfs:range foaf:Person .
- In contrast, OWL distinguishes
  - owl:DatatypeProperty
  - owl:ObjectProperty
- The following axioms hold:
  - owl:DatatypeProperty rdfs:subClassOf rdf:Property .
  - owl:ObjectProperty rdfs:subClassOf rdf:Property .

#### **OWL: Properties**



• As in RDF Schema, there can be hierarchies and domains/ranges:

:capitalOf rdfs:subPropertyOf :locatedIn .

- Domain
  - only classes for OWL Lite, classes or restrictions\* for OWL DL/Full
  - :name rdfs:domain foaf:Person .
- Range
  - XML Datatypes for owl:DatatypeProperty
    - :name rdfs:range xsd:string .
  - Classes or restrictions\* for owl:ObjectProperty
    - :knows rdfs:range foaf:Person .

## Equality and Inequality (1)



- Equality between individuals
  - Allows using multiple definitions/descriptions of an entity in other datasets as well
  - Solves some problems of the Non unique naming assumption

:Muenchen owl:sameAs :Munich .

- We have seen this used for Linked Open Data
  - as a means to establish links between datasets

myDataset:Mannheim owl:sameAs dbpedia:Mannheim .

## Equality and Inequality (2)



- Equality between classes and properties
  - Allows for relations between datasets on the schema level
  - Gives way to more complex constructs

:UniversityTeachers owl:equivalentClass :Lecturers . :teaches owl:equivalentProperty :lecturerFor .

• Also useful for Linked Open Data: my:createdBy owl:equivalentProperty foaf:maker .

## **Equality and Inequality (3)**



- Inequality between individuals
  - Allows some useful reasoning
  - as we will see soon

:Munich owl:differentFrom :Hamburg .

• Shorthand notation for multiple entities:

owl:AllDifferent owl:distinctMembers
 (:Munich :Hamburg :Berlin :Darmstadt :Mannheim) .

# Why can't we Simply Use only owl:sameAs?



- In OWL (Lite+DL), we must not mix classes, properties, and instances
- owl:sameAs has owl:Thing as domain/range
- owl:equivalentClass has rdfs:Class as domain/range
  - recap:owl:Class rdfs:subClassOf rdfs:Class
- owl:equivalentProperty has rdf:Property as domain/range
  - owl:ObjectProperty rdfs:subClassOf rdf:Property
  - owl:DatatypeProperty rdfs:subClassOf rdf:Property

## **Special Properties in OWL**



#### • Symmetric Properties

- :sitsOppositeOf a owl:SymmetricProperty
- :Tom :sitsOppositeOf :Sarah .
- $\rightarrow$  :Sarah :sitsOppositeOf :Tom .

#### • Inverse Properties

- :supervises owl:inverseOf :supervisedBy .
- :Tom :supervises :Julia .
- $\rightarrow$  :Julia :supervisedBy :Tom .
- Transitive Properties
  - :hasOfficeMate a owl:TransitiveProperty .
  - :Tom :hasOfficeMate :Jon .:Jon :hasOfficeMate :Kim
  - $\rightarrow$  :Tom :hasOfficeMate :Kim .





## Special Properties introduced with OWL2

- Reflexive, irreflexive, and asymmetric properties
- Everybody is a relative of him/herself
   :relativeOf a owl:ReflexiveProperty .
- Nobody can be his/her own parent
   :parentOf a owl:IrreflexiveProperty .
- If I am taller than you, you cannot be taller than me :tallerThan a owl:AsymmetricProperty .











- Only ObjectProperties may be transitive, symmetric, inverse, and reflexive
  - DataProperties may not be
- Why?
- *Previously on RDF:* 
  - "Literals can only be objects, never subjects or predicates."



- Assuming that
  - :samePerson a owl:DatatypeProperty .
  - :samePerson rdfs:range xsd:string .
  - :samePerson a owl:SymmetricProperty .
  - :Peter :samePerson "Peter" .
  - $\rightarrow$  "Peter" :samePerson :Peter .





- Assuming that
  - :hasName a owl:DatatypeProperty .
  - :hasName rdfs:range xsd:string .
  - :hasName owl:inverseOf :nameOf .
  - :Peter :hasName "Peter" .
  - $\rightarrow$  "Peter" :nameOf :Peter .





- owl:TransitiveProperty is also restricted to ObjectProperties
  - :hasPseudonym a owl:DatatypeProperty .
  - :hasPseudonym rdfs:range xsd:string .
  - :hasPseudonym a owl:TransitiveProperty .
  - :Thomas :hasPseudonym "Dr. Evil" .
  - + "Dr. Evil" :hasPseudonym "Skullhead" .
  - $\rightarrow$ :Thomas :hasPseudonym "Skullhead"
- Which statement would we need here to make the conclusion via the owl: TransitiveProperty?

University of Mannheim | IE650 Knowledge Graphs | Web Ontology Language (OWL) | Version 1.09.2024

#### 25

**Functional Properties** 

#### • Usage

- :hasCapital a owl:FunctionalProperty
- :Finland :hasCapital :Helsinki .
- :Finland :hasCapital :Helsingfors
- → :Helsinki owl:sameAs :Helsingfors .
- Interpretation
  - If A and B are related via fp
  - and A and C are related via fp
  - then, B and C are equal
- simply speaking: fp(x) is unique for each x
- "there can only be one"

University of Mannheim | IE650 Knowledge Graphs | Web Ontology Language (OWL) | Version 1.09.2024 <u>http://www.allmystery.de/dateien/uh60808,1274716100,highlander-christopher-lambert.jpg</u>







#### **Inverse Functional Properties**



- Usage
  - :capitalOf a owl:InverseFunctionalProperty .
  - :Helsinki :capitalOf :Finland .
  - :Helsingfors :capitalOf :Finland .
  - $\rightarrow$  :Helsinki owl:sameAs :Helsingfors .
- Interpretation
  - if A and C are in relation ifp
  - and B and C are in relation ifp
  - then, A and B are the same
- Simply speaking: ifp(x) is a unique identifier for x
  - like a primary key in a database

#### Pooh!

NG

THINK



- OWL is, in fact, more powerful
  - ...but we can achieve lots with what we already learned
- Let's get back to the example...



- Let's look at that sentence:
  - "Madrid is the capital of Spain."
- We can get the following information:
  - "Madrid is the capital of Spain."  $\checkmark$
  - − "Spain is a state." ✓
  - − "Madrid is a city." ✓
  - − "Madrid is located in Spain." ✓
  - "Barcelona is not the capital of Spain." imes
  - "Madrid is not the capital of France." imes
  - "Madrid is not a state." ×



#### **Expressive Ontologies using OWL**



- "Barcelona is not the capital of Spain." ×
- Why not?
  - Countries have exactly one capital
  - Barcelona and Madrid are not the same
- In OWL:

 $\rightarrow$  false

```
:capitalOf a owl:InverseFunctionalProperty .
:Madrid :capitalOf :Spain .
:Madrid owl:differentFrom :Barcelona .
ASK { :Barcelona :capitalOf :Spain . }
```

## **Expressive Ontologies using OWL**



- "Madrid is not the capital of France." ×
- Why not?
  - A city can only be the capital of one country
  - Spain and France are not the same
- Also:

```
:capitalOf a owl:FunctionalProperty .
:Madrid :capitalOf :Spain .
:Spain owl:differentFrom :France .
ASK { :Madrid :capitalOf :France . }
→ false
```

#### Restrictions



- Define characteristics of a class
  - A powerful and important concept in OWL
  - Example: Vegan recipes only contain vegetables as ingredients

```
:VeganRecipe rdfs:subClassOf :Recipe .
:VeganRecipe rdfs:subClassOf [
    a owl:Restriction ;
    owl:onProperty :hasIngredient ;
    owl:allValuesFrom :Vegetable .
] .
```

#### **Further Examples for Restrictions**



• Every human as exactly one mother

```
:Human rdfs:subClassOf [
    a owl:Restriction ;
    owl:onProperty :hasMother ;
    owl:cardinality 1^^xsd:integer .
```

].

- Standard bicycles are vehicles without a motor
  - :StandardBicycle rdfs:subClassOf :Vehicle .

```
:StandardBicycle rdfs:subClassOf [
    a owl:Restriction ;
    owl:onProperty :hasMotor ;
    owl:cardinality 0^^xsd:integer .
```

].

#### **Restrictions vs. Ranges**



- Restrictions are local to a class
  - :VeganRecipe rdfs:subClassOf [
     a owl:Restriction ;
     owl:onProperty :hasIngredient ;
    - owl:allValuesFrom :Vegetable .
  - ].
  - Other classes may use has Ingredient with meat or fish
- Range: a global restriction
  - :hasIngredient rdfs:range :Food .
  - This holds once and for all whenever has Ingredient is used

#### The Anatomy of a Restriction



OWL Lite: only

n=0 or

n=1

- onProperty
  - defines the property on which the restriction should hold
- Restriction of values
  - owl:allValuesFrom all values must be in this class
  - owl:someValuesFrom at least one value must be in this class
- Restriction of cardinalities -
  - owl:minCardinality at least n values
  - owl:maxCardinality at most n values
  - owl:cardinality exactly n values
- Both cannot be combined

#### **Further Examples for Restrictions**



- All ball sports require a ball
  - :BallSports rdfs:subClassOf
     a owl:Restriction ;
     owl:onProperty :requires ;
     owl:someValuesFrom :Ball .
     ] .
- All sports for which a ball is required are ball sports

```
:BallSports owl:equivalentClass [
   a owl:Restriction ;
   owl:onProperty :requires ;
   owl:someValuesFrom :Ball .
  ].
```

• Where is the difference?

#### **Further Examples for Restrictions**



#### • Given:

```
:BallSports owl:equivalentClass [
    a owl:Restriction ;
    owl:onProperty :requires ;
    owl:someValuesFrom :Ball .
] .
:Soccer :requires :soccerBall .
:soccerBall a :Ball.
```

- A reasoner may conclude that soccer is a ball sports
- This would not work with subClassOf
- Caveat: gymnastics with a ball are also recognized as ball sports...

## **Qualified Restrictions in OWL2**



- In OWL, cardinalities and value restrictions may not be combined
  - i.e., use either all/someValuesFrom or min/maxCardinality
- **OWL 2** introduces *qualified restrictions*
- Example: a literate person has to have read at least 1,000 books (newspapers and magazines do not count!)

:LiteratePerson rdfs:subClassOf [

- a owl:Restriction ;
  - owl:onProperty :hasRead;
  - owl:minQualifiedCardinality "1000"^^xsd:integer ;

```
owl:onClass :Book .
```

Analogously, there are also owl:maxQualifiedCardinality and owl:qualifiedCardinality

#### **Using Restriction Classes as Ranges**



- Restrictions can also be used in other contexts
- Example: books, newspapers, and posters can be read
  - Essentially: everything that contains letters
- Range of the predicate *reads*:

```
:reads rdfs:range [
    a owl:Restriction ;
    owl:onProperty :containsLetter ;
    owl:minCardinality 1^^xsd:integer .
```

#### **Using Restrictions as Domains**



- If it works for ranges, it also works for domains
- e.g.: to think about something, a brain is required
- Domain of the *thinksAbout* property:

:thinksAbout rdfs:domain [
 a owl:Restriction ;
 owl:onProperty :hasBodyPart ;
 owl:someValuesFrom :Brain .
] .

• Note: only in OWL DL/Full



#### **Nesting Restrictions**



- It is always possible to make things more complex
- e.g.: grandparents have children who themselves have at least one child

```
:GrandParent owl:equivalentClass [
    a owl:Restriction ;
    owl:onProperty :hasChild ;
    owl:someValuesFrom [
        a owl:Restriction ;
        owl:onProperty :hasChild ;
        owl:minCardinality 1^^xsd:integer .
    ] .
] .
```



- What we have seen up to now
  - the vocabulary of OWL Lite
  - useful in many cases
  - "A little semantics goes a long way."
- OWL DL and OWL Full are more powerful
  - but also harder to handle





#### **OWL DL**



- DL stands for "Description Logics"
  - A subset of first order logics
  - We will get back to that in the next lecture
- OWL DL introduces
  - The full set of cardinality restrictions (OWL Lite allows only 0 and 1)
  - More set operators
  - Closed classes
  - Value based restrictions
  - Restrictions on datatypes

- ...

#### **Complex Set Definitions**



#### • Set union

:FacultyMembers owl:unionOf

(:Students, :Professors) .

#### • Complement set

:LivingThings owl:complementOf :InanimateThings .

#### • Disjoint sets

:EdibleMushrooms owl:disjointWith

:PoisonousMushrooms .

## Previously on "Semantic Web Technologies"

- Let's look at that sentence:
  - "Madrid is the capital of Spain."
- We can get the following information:
  - "Madrid is the capital of Spain."  $\checkmark$
  - − "Spain is a state." ✓
  - − "Madrid is a city." ✓
  - "Madrid is located in Spain."  $\checkmark$
  - "Barcelona is not the capital of Spain."  $\checkmark$
  - "Madrid is not the capital of France."  $\checkmark$
  - "Madrid is not a state." ×





## Previously on "Semantic Web Technologies"



- "Madrid is not a state." ×
- Why not?
  - Madrid is a city
  - Nothing can be a city and a state at the same time.
- In OWL:

```
:Madrid a :City .
:City owl:disjointWith :State .
ASK { :Madrid a :State . }
→ false
```

#### **Complex Set Definitions**



• We can combine class definitions and restrictions:

```
:VegetarianRecipe rdfs:subClassOf [
    a owl:Restriction ;
    owl:onProperty :hasIngredient ;
    owl:allValuesFrom [
        a owl:Class .
        owl:complementOf [
            owl:complementOf [
                owl:unionOf (:Meat :Fish)
        ]
    ]
]
```



- ALIS: EU funded research project (2006-2009)
- Automated Legal Intelligent System
  - Automatic search for relevant European laws
  - Given a legal case at hand
  - Using ontologies, reasoning, etc.
  - Use case: copyright law



- One important differentiation (among others):
  - Single Author Work
  - Multi Author Work



University of Mannheim | IE650 Knowledge Graphs | Web Ontology Language (OWL) | Version 1.09.2024 http://geekandpoke.typepad.com/geekandpoke/2006/10/copyright and a.html



#### • Naive Solution in OWL DL:

- :hasAuthor a owl:ObjectProperty; rdfs:domain :Work ; rdfs:range :Author . :SingleAuthorWork rdfs:subClassOf :Work, [ a owl:Restriction; owl:onProperty :hasAuthor ; owl:cardinality 1^^xsd:integer ] . :MultiAuthorWork rdfs:subClassOf :Work, [ a owl:Restriction; owl:onProperty :hasAuthor ;
  - owl:minCardinality 2^^xsd:integer ] .



- Result:
  - Not such a good idea
  - Why not?



GEEKS



- Given
  - :DataMining :hasAuthor :IanWitten, :EibeFrank .
- What can we derive from that?
- OK, so we need
  - :DataMining :hasAuthor :IanWitten, :EibeFrank .
  - :IanWitten owl:differentFrom :EibeFrank .
  - $\rightarrow: \texttt{DataMining} \ \texttt{a} \ :\texttt{MultiAuthorWork}$  .



- Given:
  - :Faust :hasAuthor :Goethe .
- What can we derive from that?
- Since it worked for Multi Author Work, how about

```
:Work owl:disjointUnionOf
(:SingleAuthorWork,:MultiAuthorWork) .
```

- ?
- Note: we can classify : Faust neither as Single nor as Multi Author Work

#### **Recap: Principles of RDF**



- Basic semantic principles of RDF:
  - AAA: Anybody can say Anything about Anything
- Non-unique name assumption
  - We can control it with owl:sameAs and owl:differentFrom
- Open World Assumption
  - So far, we have to live with it

#### **Closed Classes**



- The Open World Assumption says:
  - Everything we do not know *could* be true

#### • Example:

- :Tim a :PeopleInOfficeD219 .
- :John a :PeopleInOfficeD219 .
- :Mary a :PeopleInOfficeD219 .
- This does not mean that there cannot be more people in D219 :Mike a :PeopleInD219 .
- Sometimes, this is exactly what we want to say

#### **Closed Classes**



- Works with owl:oneOf in OWL DL
- Example:
  - :PeopleInOfficeD219 owl:oneOf (:Tim :John :Mary) .
- Now, what is the meaning of

```
:Mike a :PeopleInD219 .
```

#### Back to a Tale from the Road



#### • Solution:

```
:Faust a [ a owl:Restriction ;
        owl:onProperty :hasAuthor ;
        owl:allValuesFrom [
            a owl:Class ;
            owl:oneOf (:Goethe)
        ]
    ].
```

## **OWL DL: Restrictions with Single** Values



- For ObjectProperties:
  - :AfricanStates owl:subClassOf [
    - a owl:Restriction ;
    - owl:onProperty :locatedOnContinent
    - owl:hasValue :Africa
  - ].
- For DatatypeProperties:
  - :AlbumsFromTheEarly80s owl:subClassOf [

```
a owl:Restriction ;
owl:onProperty :year
owl:dataRange
    (1980^^xsd:integer
        1981^^xsd:integer
        1982^^xsd:integer) ] .
```

## **OWL Lite/DL vs. OWL Full**



- OWL Lite/DL: a resource is *either* an instance *or* a class *or* a property
- OWL Full does not have such restrictions:
  - :Elephant a owl:Class .
  - :Elephant a :Species .
  - :Elephant :livesIn :Africa .
  - :Species a owl:Class .
- OWL Lite/DL: classes are only instances of owl:Class
- OWL Lite/DL: classes and properties can only have a predefined set of relations (e.g., rdfs:subClassOf).

## And Now for Something Completely Different

• Can we use OWL to solve a Sudoku?



нин

UNIVE

Data and Web Sci



- What is our domain about?
- First of all, a closed class of numbers
  - :Number a owl:Class ;

owl:oneOf (:1 :2 :3 :4 :5 :6 :7 :8 :9) .

- :1 owl:differentFrom (:2 :3 :4 :5 :6 :7 :8 :9).
- :2 owl:differentFrom (:3 :4 :5 :6 :7 :8 :9) .
- • •
- ...and a lot of fields
  - That we want to fill with numbers
  - Simplification: numbers are fields as well
  - We want to know which field equals which number



#### • 81 Fields:

- cl\_11 a :Number .
- c1\_21 a :Number .

•••

- c1\_33 a :Number .
- c2\_11 a :Number .

•••

c9 33 a :Number .

c1_ 11	c1_ 12	c2_ 11	c2_ 12		
c1_ 21					
c4_ 11					



• Fields in a quadrant are different

c1_ 11	c1_ 12	c2_ 11	c2_ 12		
c1_ 21					
c4_ 11					



• Fields in a row are different

...

c1\_11 owl:differentFrom c1\_12, c1\_13, ..., c3\_13 .

			-	_	-	
c1_ 11	c1_ 12	c2_ 11	c2_ 12			
c1_ 21						
c4_ 11						

...



#### • Fields in a column are different

#### c1\_11 owl:differentFrom c1\_21, c1\_31, ..., c3\_31 .

c1_ 11	c1_ 12	c2_ 11	c2_ 12		
c1_ 21					
c4_ 11					



#### • Last step: enter known numbers

- c1\_11 owl:sameAs :5 .
- c1\_12 owl:sameAs :3 .
- c1\_21 owl:sameAs :6 .

...

			7	4	8		6	5
		6				9		3
						8		
	4			8			1	
8	1		2		6		9	7
	9			3			5	
		2						
7		8				6		
9	5		6	1	3			

#### **Running this Example in Protégé**



- We use a reasoner to infer implicit facts
- Here: number c1\_11 (top left)





#### Summary



- OWL allows defining more complex ontologies
- Flavors: OWL Lite, DL, Full
- Definitions of sets, restrictions, property characteristics
- In our example, we can now use the full set of conclusions:
  - "Barcelona is not the capital of Spain."  $\checkmark$
  - "Madrid is not the capital of France."  $\checkmark$
  - − "Madrid is not a state." ✓

#### **Coming Up Next**



- Changes in OWL 2
- How does reasoning with OWL actually work?



#### **Questions?**



