


PORTAL Fakultät WIM
 Semantic Web Technologies- Prof. Paulheim- VL (E14)
 Erfasste Fragebögen = 16



Auswertungsteil der geschlossenen Fragen


Legende

Frage**text**




1. Angaben zu Ihrer Person


1.1) My program



1.2) Mein angestrebter Abschluss




1.3) Mein Fachsemester



1.4) My gender




1.5) Ich bin Austauschstudierende(r)




2. Angaben zum Besuch der Veranstaltung


2.1) Besuch der Veranstaltung



2.2) Wie regelmäßig waren Sie anwesend- wie oft waren Sie abwesend?




2.3) If you were absent more than three times, what were the reasons for your absences? (Multiple answers are possible)




3. Course evaluation


3.1) The course was **well organized**




3.2) The course content was illustrated with **examples**




3.3) The instructor's **articulation** was clear




3.4) Information on the **board/screen** was clearly legible




3.5) The **pace** of the course was ...



3.6) The instructor was **motivating**



3.7) The instructor always seemed to be **well prepared**




3.8) The instructor showed a strong commitment during the lecture.		n=15 mw=1,3 md=1 s=0,5
3.9) The instructor was available for discussing subject-related matters with the students		n=16 mw=1,6 md=1 s=0,9
3.10) The information on the board/screen helped me understand the course content better		n=16 mw=1,8 md=2 s=0,7
3.11) Additional documents and downloads (i.e. copies, scripts, recordings) were helpful to understand the course content		n=15 mw=1,7 md=2 s=0,7
3.12) The use of additional media (except overhead/board) was helpful		n=14 mw=2 md=2 s=0,9 E.=2
3.13) Overall, I am very satisfied with the instructor		n=15 mw=1,4 md=1 s=0,6
3.14) The instructor stood out with very high teaching skills		n=16 mw=1,3 md=1 s=0,5
3.15) The instructor should receive an award for excellent teaching		n=15 mw=1,7 md=2 s=0,6 E.=1
3.16) I have learned a lot during this course		n=16 mw=1,7 md=1,5 s=0,9
3.17) The course content is well aligned with other courses		n=14 mw=1,8 md=2 s=0,8 E.=1
3.18) I feel that the course content is important for my future professional career		n=15 mw=2,4 md=2 s=1,1
3.19) The lecture fostered my interest in the course content		n=16 mw=1,8 md=2 s=0,8
3.20) Overall, I am very satisfied with the course		n=16 mw=1,5 md=1 s=0,6

4. Evaluation of your own participation


4.1) I asked questions during class



4.2) If not, why not? (Multiple answers are possible)




4.3) What was the average weekly amount of time you spent preparing for and reviewing the lecture contents (not including class time, time in the tutorial/ exercise group, or time devoted to completing worksheets)?




4.4) The average workload for the lecture in relation to the credited ECTS-points is....

_(One ECTS-point is equivalent to a students workload of approx. 30h.)



5. Overall evaluation of the course

5.1) My previous knowledge was sufficient for mastering the course content



Profillinie


Teilbereich: Fakultät WIM
 Name der/des Lehrenden: PORTAL Fakultät WIM
 Titel der Lehrveranstaltung: Semantic Web Technologies- Prof. Paulheim- VL
 (Name der Umfrage)

Verwendete Werte in der Profillinie: Mittelwert

2. Angaben zum Besuch der Veranstaltung



3. Course evaluation



4. Evaluation of your own participation

4.4) The average workload for the lecture in relation to the credited ECTS-points is....
<sub style="-webkit-text-stroke-width: 0px;



n=15 mw=2,7 md=3,0 s=0,5

5. Overall evaluation of the course

5.1) My **previous knowledge** was sufficient for mastering the course content



n=15 mw=1,7 md=2,0 s=0,6

Auswertungsteil der offenen Fragen

5. Overall evaluation of the course

5.2) If question 5.1 does not apply, which previous knowledge was missing?

Java

6. Your suggestions

6.1) What did you like most about the course?

the link between Philosophy & C.S.

1. Semantic web is interesting.
2. The tutor is nice. He always answers our questions patiently.

the exercise

Prof. Paulheim was still motivated when there were only 4 students in class.

Small size, focus on student needs

motivated lecturer, videos available

6.2) What did you not like about the course?

That the project was not graded,
it made us loose the motivation to make
it perfect.

It ~~would~~ would be better if there are more ~~se~~ coaching
sessions.

It would have been better to be also graded for the project.

6.3) What are your **suggestions** for improvement?

Is to make the project graded

provide overview of all relevant owl-expressions

It will be better if you upload the slides before
lectures. Because it's ~~easy~~^{easy} for me to follow if I study
the slides before lectures.