

PORTAL Fakultät WIM


Semantic Web Technologies - Prof. Paulheim - VL (EVL99)
Erfasste Fragebögen = 11



Auswertungsteil der geschlossenen Fragen


Legende

Frage**text**



Angaben zu Ihrer Person


My program



Mein angestrebter Abschluss



Mein Fachsemester



My gender



Ich bin Austauschstudierende(r)




Angaben zum Besuch der Veranstaltung


Besuch der Veranstaltung



Wie regelmäßig waren Sie anwesend- wie oft waren Sie abwesend?




If you were absent more than three times, what were the reasons for your absences? (Multiple answers are possible)




Course evaluation


The course was **well organized**




The course content was illustrated with **examples**




The instructor's **articulation** was clear




Information on the **board/screen** was clearly legible




The **pace** of the course was ...




The instructor was **motivating**



The instructor always seemed to be **well prepared**




The instructor showed a strong **commitment** during the lecture.




n=11
mw=1.3
md=1
s=0.6

The **instructor** was available for discussing **subject-related matters** with the students




n=11
mw=1.2
md=1
s=0.4

The information on the board/screen **helped me understand** the course content better




n=11
mw=1.4
md=1
s=0.5

Additional documents and downloads (i.e. copies, scripts, recordings) were **helpful** to understand the course content




n=9
mw=1.4
md=1
s=0.7
E.=2

The use of **additional media** (except overhead/board) was helpful




n=7
mw=1.6
md=1
s=0.8
E.=1

Overall, I am very **satisfied** with the instructor




n=11
mw=1.2
md=1
s=0.4

The instructor stood out with very high **teaching skills**




n=10
mw=1.3
md=1
s=0.5

The instructor should receive an **award for excellent teaching**




n=10
mw=1.5
md=1
s=0.7
E.=1

I have learned a lot during this course




n=11
mw=1.9
md=2
s=0.8

The course content is **well aligned** with other courses




n=11
mw=2
md=2
s=1

I feel that the course content is **important for my future professional career**




n=11
mw=2.9
md=3
s=1.2

The lecture **fostered my interest** in the course content



n=10
mw=2.5
md=2.5
s=0.8

Overall, I am very **satisfied** with the course




n=11
mw=1.5
md=2
s=0.5

Evaluation of your own participation


I asked questions during class



If not, why not? (Multiple answers are possible)




What was the average weekly amount of time you spent preparing for and reviewing the lecture contents (not including class time, time in the tutorial/ exercise group, or time devoted to completing worksheets)?




The average workload for the lecture in relation to the credited ECTS-points is....

_(One ECTS-point is equivalent to a students workload of approx. 30h.)



Overall evaluation of the course

My previous knowledge was sufficient for mastering the course content



Profillinie

Teilbereich: Fakultät WIM
 Name der/des Lehrenden: PORTAL Fakultät WIM
 Titel der Lehrveranstaltung: Semantic Web Technologies - Prof. Paulheim - VL
 (Name der Umfrage)

Verwendete Werte in der Profillinie: Mittelwert


Angaben zum Besuch der Veranstaltung

Wie regelmäßig waren Sie anwesend- wie oft waren Sie abwesend?




n=11 mw=2,6 md=3,0 s=1,4

Course evaluation



Evaluation of your own participation

The average workload for the lecture in relation to the credited ECTS-points is....
<sub style="-webkit-text-stroke-width: 0px;



n=10 mw=2,7 md=3,0 s=0,7

Overall evaluation of the course

My **previous knowledge** was sufficient for mastering the course content



n=11 mw=1,8 md=1,0 s=1,3

Auswertungsteil der offenen Fragen

Overall evaluation of the course

If question 5.1 does not apply, which previous knowledge was missing?

programming knowledge About projects
would be helpful with one preliminary lecture

Web

Your suggestions

What did you like most about the course?

IT was eye opening !!!

Interdisciplinary perspectives (ie philosophical, software engineering)

- STRUCTURE of course
- illustrations
- Professor had good knowledge

Structure was clear, very well prepared

Good teaching skills. & lecturer was motivated.

Amazing Teach style and practical Tutorial
Not so crowded, everyone has chance to talk during class.

- exercise helped a lot

It was well structured course. It helped me understand the search engines in detail.

What did you not like about the course?

Too short!

Project "unnecessary" → kind of annoying to have besides other obligations if it is not graded

High workload

- hard to anticipate content of exam

What are your suggestions for improvement?

Longer duration!

- Please update video lectures

Either scratch project or make gradeable again

Maybe cut out the last one or two lectures. Combined with the project the workload is quite high.

Please use online Evaluation form. This is wastage of paper and resources in general.