## UNIVERSITÄT Mannheim

#### Semantic Web Technologies Web Ontology Language (OWL)



Heiko Paulheim

- Let's look at that sentence:
  - "Madrid is the capital of Spain."
- We can get the following information:
  - "Madrid is the capital of Spain."
  - "Spain is a state."
  - − "Madrid is a city." ✓
  - "Madrid is located in Spain."
  - "Barcelona is not the capital of Spain." ×
  - "Madrid is not the capital of France." ×
  - "Madrid is not a state." ×



. . .

- What we cannot express (up to now):
  - "Every state has exactly one capital"
    - Property cardinalities
  - "Every city can only be the capital of one state."
    - Functional properties
  - "A city cannot be a state at the same time."
    - Class disjointness

• For those, we need more expressive languages than RDFS!



- We have learned about ontologies
  - and RDF Schema as a language for building simple ontologies
- With RDF Schema, we can express some knowledge about a domain
  - but not everything, e.g., cardinalities
  - we cannot produce contradictions
  - we cannot circumvent the Non Unique Naming Assumption
  - we cannot circumvent the Open World Assumption
  - ...



#### **Semantic Web – Architecture**



• Hey, wait...



11/5/20 Heiko Paulheim

- More powerful than RDF Schema
- W3C Standard (2004), OWL2 (2009)
- Trade-off:
  - Expressive power
  - Complexity of reasoning
  - Decidability
- Solution: different variants of OWL, e.g.,
  - OWL Lite, OWL DL, OWL Full
  - Profiles in OWL2



- Three variants
  - increasing expressive power
  - backwards compatible
    - each OWL Lite ontology is valid in OWL DL and OWL Full
    - each OWL DL ontology is valid in OWL Full



### **OWL and RDF Schema**

- both are based on RDF
  - OWL ontologies can also be expressed in RDF
  - as triples or in XML notation
- Compatibility
  - OWL Lite and OWL DL are not fully compatible to RDF Schema
    - but reuse some parts of RDF Schema
  - OWL Full and RDF Schema are fully compatible

## **OWL: Classes**

- Basic concept (owl:Class)
- Subclasses as we know them from RDFS: rdfs:subClassOf
  - In particular, the following holds:
     owl:Class rdfs:subClassOf rdfs:Class .
- Two predefined classes:
  - owl:Thing
  - owl:Nothing
- For each class c, the following axioms hold:
  - c rdfs:subClassOf owl:Thing .
  - owl:Nothing rdfs:subClassOf c .

#### 11/5/20 Heiko Paulheim

## **OWL: Classes**

- Classes can be intersections of others:
  - :SwimmingMammals owl:intersectionOf
    - (:SwimmingAnimals :Mammals) .
- There are also set unions and set differences
  - but not in OWL Lite

## **OWL: Properties**

 RDF Schema does not distinguish literal and object valued properties:

```
:name a rdf:Property .
:name rdfs:range xsd:string .
:knows a rdf:Property .
:knows rdfs:range foaf:Person .
```

• Without specifying the range, "dual use" of an RDF property is not forbidden:

```
:peter :knows :john .
:peter :knows "mary" .
```

## **OWL: Properties**

RDF Schema does not distinguish literal and object valued properties:

```
:name a rdf:Property .
:name rdfs:range xsd:string .
:knows a rdf:Property .
:knows rdfs:range foaf:Person .
```

- In contrast, OWL distinguishes
  - owl:DatatypeProperty
  - owl:ObjectProperty
- The following axioms hold:
  - owl:DatatypeProperty rdfs:subClassOf rdf:Property .
  - owl:ObjectProperty rdfs:subClassOf rdf:Property .

## **OWL: Properties**

- As in RDF Schema, there can be hierarchies and domains/ranges:
  - :capitalOf rdfs:subPropertyOf :locatedIn .
- Domain
  - only classes for OWL Lite, classes or restrictions\* for OWL DL/Full
    - :name rdfs:domain foaf:Person .
- Range
  - XML Datatypes for owl:DatatypeProperty :name rdfs:range xsd:string .
  - Classes or restrictions\* for owl:ObjectProperty
    - :knows rdfs:range foaf:Person .

## Equality and Inequality (1)

- Equality between individuals
  - Allows using multiple definitions/descriptions of an entity
  - in other datasets as well
  - solves some problems of the Non unique naming assumption

:Muenchen owl:sameAs :Munich .

- We have seen this used for Linked Open Data
  - as a means to establish links between datasets

myDataset:Mannheim owl:sameAs dbpedia:Mannheim .

# Equality and Inequality (2)

- Equality between classes and properties
  - allows for relations between datasets on the schema level
  - gives way to more complex constructs

:UniversityTeachers owl:equivalentClass :Lecturers . :teaches owl:equivalentProperty :lecturerFor .

• Also useful for Linked Open Data:

dc:creator owl:equivalentProperty foaf:maker .

# **Equality and Inequality (3)**

- Inequality between individuals
  - Allows some useful reasoning
  - as we will see soon

:Muenchen owl:differentFrom :Hamburg .

• Shorthand notation for multiple entities:

owl:AllDifferent owl:distinctMembers
 (:Munich :Hamburg :Berlin :Darmstadt :Mannheim) .

#### Why owl:sameAs, owl:equivalentClass, etc.?

- In OWL (Lite+DL), we must not mix classes, properties, and instances
- owl:sameAs has owl:Thing as domain/range
- owl:equivalentClass has rdfs:Class as domain/range
  - recap:owl:Class rdfs:subClassOf rdfs:Class
- owl:equivalentProperty has rdf:Property as domain/range
  - owl:ObjectProperty rdfs:subClassOf rdf:Property
  - owl:DatatypeProperty rdfs:subClassOf rdf:Property

## **Special Properties in OWL**

#### • Symmetric Properties

- :sitsOppositeOf a owl:SymmetricProperty .
  - :Tom :sitsOppositeOf :Sarah .
- $\rightarrow: \texttt{Sarah} : \texttt{sitsOppositeOf} : \texttt{Tom}$  .

#### Inverse Properties

- :supervises owl:inverseOf :supervisedBy .
  - :Tom :supervises :Julia .
- $\rightarrow:$  Julia :supervisedBy :Tom .

#### Transitive Properties

- :hasOfficeMate a owl:TransitiveProperty .
  - :Tom :hasOfficeMate :Jon . :Jon :hasOfficeMate :Kim .
- $\rightarrow: \texttt{Tom}$  :hasOfficeMate :Kim .

#### 11/5/20 Heiko Paulheim





#### 11/5/20 Heiko Paulheim

#### 20

## **Special Properties introduced with OWL2**

- Reflexive, irreflexive, and asymmetric properties
- Everybody is a relative of him/herself

:relativeOf a owl:ReflexiveProperty .

Nobody can be his/her own parent

:parentOf a owl:IrreflexiveProperty .

• If I am taller than you, you cannot be taller than me

:tallerThan a owl:AsymmetricProperty .





- Only ObjectProperties may be transitive, symmetric, inverse, and reflexive
  - DataProperties may not be
- Why?
- Previously on RDF:
  - "Literals can only be objects, never subjects or predicates."

• Assuming that

:samePerson a owl:DatatypeProperty .

- :samePerson rdfs:range xsd:string .
- :samePerson a owl:SymmetricProperty .

:Peter :samePerson "Peter" .

 $\rightarrow$  "Peter" :samePerson :Peter .



• Assuming that

```
:hasName a owl:DatatypeProperty .
:hasName rdfs:range xsd:string .
:hasName owl:inverseOf :nameOf .
:Peter :hasName "Peter" .
```

→ "Peter" :nameOf :Peter



• owl:TransitiveProperty is also restricted to ObjectProperties



• Which statement would we need here to make the conclusion via the owl:TransitiveProperty?

## **Functional Properties**

• Usage

:hasCapital a owl:FunctionalProperty
:Finland :hasCapital :Helsinki .
:Finland :hasCapital :Helsingfors .
→:Helsinki owl:sameAs :Helsingfors .

- Interpretation
  - if A and B are related via fp
  - and A and C are related via fp
  - then, B and C are equal
- simply speaking: fp(x) is unique for each x
- "there can only be one"

http://www.allmystery.de/dateien/uh60808,1274716100,highlander-christopher-lambert.jpg





#### **Inverse Functional Properties**

• Usage

- Interpretation
  - if A and C are in relation ifp
  - and B and C are in relation ifp
  - then, A and B are the same
- Simply speaking: ifp(x) is a unique identifier for x
  - like a primary key in a database

## Pooh!



- OWL is, in fact, more powerful
  - ...but we can achieve lots with what we already learned
- Let's get back to the example...

- Let's look at that sentence:
  - "Madrid is the capital of Spain."
- We can get the following information:
  - "Madrid is the capital of Spain."
  - "Spain is a state."
  - − "Madrid is a city." ✓
  - "Madrid is located in Spain."
  - "Barcelona is not the capital of Spain." ×
  - "Madrid is not the capital of France." ×
  - "Madrid is not a state." ×



. . .

#### **Expressive Ontologies using OWL**

- "Barcelona is not the capital of Spain." X
- Why not?
  - Countries have exactly one capital
  - Barcelona and Madrid are not the same
- In OWL:

```
:capitalOf a owl:InverseFunctionalProperty .
:Madrid :capitalOf :Spain .
:Madrid owl:differentFrom :Barcelona .
```

ASK { :Barcelona :capitalOf :Spain . }  $\rightarrow$  false

#### **Expressive Ontologies using OWL**

- "Madrid is not the capital of France." ×
- Why not?
  - A city can only be the capital of one country
  - Spain and France are not the same
- Also:

```
:capitalOf a owl:FunctionalProperty .
:Madrid :capitalOf :Spain .
:Spain owl:differentFrom :France .
```

ASK { :Madrid :capitalOf :France . }  $\rightarrow$  false

#### Restrictions

- Define characteristics of a class
  - A powerful and important concept in OWL
  - Example: Vegan recipes only contain vegetables as ingredients

```
:VeganRecipe rdfs:subClassOf :Recipe .
:VeganRecipe rdfs:subClassOf [
   a owl:Restriction .
   owl:onProperty :hasIngredient .
   owl:allValuesFrom :Vegetable .
] .
```

#### **Further Examples for Restrictions**

```
• Every human as exactly one mother
```

```
:Human rdfs:subClassOf [
   a owl:Restriction ;
   owl:onProperty :hasMother ;
   owl:cardinality 1^^xsd:integer .
] .
```

Bicycles are vehicles without a motor

```
:Bicycle rdfs:subClassOf :Vehicle .
:Bicycle rdfs:subClassOf [
   a owl:Restriction ;
   owl:onProperty :hasMotor ;
   owl:cardinality 0^^xsd:integer .
] .
```

#### **Restrictions vs. Ranges**

Restrictions are local to a class

```
:VeganRecipe rdfs:subClassOf [
   a owl:Restriction ;
   owl:onProperty :hasIngredient ;
   owl:allValuesFrom :Vegetable .
] .
```

- other classes may use has Ingredient with meat or fish
- Range: a global restriction
  - :hasIngredient rdfs:range :Food .
  - this holds once and for all whenever has Ingredient is used

## The Anatomy of a Restriction

- onProperty
  - defines the property on which the restriction should hold
- Restriction of values
  - owl:allValuesFrom all values must be in this class
  - owl:someValuesFrom at least one value must be in this class
- Restriction of cardinalities
  - owl:minCardinality at least n values
  - owl:maxCardinality at most n values
  - owl:cardinality exactly n values
- Both cannot be combined

OWL Lite: only n=0 or n=1

#### **Further Examples for Restrictions**

• All ball sports require a ball

```
:BallSports rdfs:subClassOf [
  a owl:Restriction ;
  owl:onProperty :requires ;
  owl:someValuesFrom :Ball .
] .
```

All sports for which a ball is required are ball sports

```
:BallSports @wl:equivalentClass [
  a owl:Restriction ;
  owl:onProperty :requires ;
  owl:someValuesFrom :Ball .
] .
```

• Where is the difference?

#### **Further Examples for Restrictions**

```
• Given:
```

```
:BallSports owl:equivalentClass [
   a owl:Restriction ;
   owl:onProperty :requires ;
   owl:someValuesFrom :Ball .
] .
:Soccer :requires :soccerBall .
:soccerBall a :Ball.
```

- A reasoner may conclude that soccer is a ball sports
- This would not work with subClassOf
- Caveat: gymnastics with a ball are also recognized as ball sports...

## **Qualified Restrictions in OWL2**

- In OWL, cardinalities and value restrictions may not be combined
- i.e., use either all/someValuesFrom or min/maxCardinality
- OWL 2 introduces qualified restrictions
- Example: a literate person has to have read at least 1,000 books (newspapers and magazines do not count!)

```
:LiteratePerson rdfs:subClassOf [
    a owl:Restriction ;
    owl:onProperty :hasRead;
    owl:minQualifiedCardinality "1000"^^xsd:integer ;
    owl:onClass :Book ] .
```

Analogously, there are also owl:maxQualifiedCardinality and owl:qualifiedCardinality

## **Using Restriction Classes as Ranges**

- Restrictions can also be used in other contexts
- Example: books, newspapers, and posters can be read
  - essentially: everything that contains letters
- Range of the predicate *reads*:

```
:reads rdfs:range [
   a owl:Restriction ;
   owl:onProperty :containsLetter ;
   owl:minCardinality 1^^xsd:integer
] .
```



## **Using Restrictions as Domains**

- If it works for ranges, it also works for domains
- e.g.: to think about something, a brain is required
- Domain of the *thinksAbout* property:

```
:thinksAbout rdfs:domain [
   a owl:Restriction ;
   owl:onProperty :hasBodyPart ;
   owl:someValuesFrom :Brain .
] .
```

• Note: only in OWL DL/Full



### **Nesting Restrictions**

- It is always possible to make things more complex
- e.g.: grandparents have children who themselves have at least one child

```
:GrandParent owl:equivalentClass [
   a owl:Restriction ;
   owl:onProperty :hasChild ;
   owl:someValuesFrom [
        a owl:Restriction ;
        owl:onProperty :hasChild ;
        owl:minCardinality 1^^xsd:integer .
] .
] .
```

- What we have seen up to now
  - the vocabulary of OWL Lite
  - useful in many cases
  - "A little semantics goes a long way."
- OWL DL and OWL Full are more powerful
  - but also harder to handle





## OWL DL

- DL stands for "Description Logics"
  - a subset of first order logics
  - we will get back to that next week
- OWL DL introduces
  - the full set of cardinality restrictions (OWL Lite allows only 0 and 1)
  - more set operators
  - closed classes
  - value based restrictions
  - restrictions on datatypes

- ...

## **Complex Set Definitions**

- Set union
  - :FacultyMembers owl:unionOf
    - (:Students, :Professors) .
- Complement set
  - :LivingThings owl:complementOf :InanimateThings .

#### • Disjoint sets

- :EdibleMushrooms owl:disjointWith
  - :PoisonousMushrooms .

- Let's look at that sentence:
  - "Madrid is the capital of Spain."
- We can get the following information:
  - "Madrid is the capital of Spain."
  - "Spain is a state."
  - − "Madrid is a city." ✓
  - "Madrid is located in Spain."
  - "Barcelona is not the capital of Spain."
  - "Madrid is not the capital of France."
  - "Madrid is not a state." ×



. . .

- "Madrid is not a state." X
- Why not?
  - Madrid is a city
  - Nothing can be a city and a state at the same time.
- In OWL:

:Madrid a :City .

:City owl:disjointWith :State .

```
ASK { :Madrid a :State . } \rightarrow false
```

## **Complex Set Definitions**

• We can combine class definitions and restrictions:

- ALIS: EU funded research project (2006-2009)
- Automated Legal Intelligent System
  - automatic search for relevant European laws
  - given a legal case at hand
  - using ontologies, reasoning, etc.
  - use case: copyright law

- One important differentiation (among others):
  - Single Author Work
  - Multi Author Work



http://geekandpoke.typepad.com/geekandpoke/2006/10/copyright\_and\_a.html

11/5/20 Heiko Paulheim

• Naive Solution in OWL DL:

```
:hasAuthor a owl:ObjectProperty;
    rdfs:domain :Work ;
    rdfs:range :Author .
```

- :SingleAuthorWork rdfs:subClassOf :Work,
  - [ a owl:Restriction;
    - owl:onProperty :hasAuthor ;
    - owl:cardinality 1^^xsd:integer ] .
- :MultiAuthorWork rdfs:subClassOf
  - :Work,
  - [ a owl:Restriction;
    - owl:onProperty :hasAuthor ;
      - owl:minCardinality 2^^xsd:integer ] .

- Result:
  - not such a good idea
  - why not?



http://geekandpoke.typepad.com/geekandpoke/2006/10/copyright\_and\_a.html

11/5/20 Heiko Paulheim

Given

:DataMining :hasAuthor :IanWitten, :EibeFrank .

- what can we derive from that?
- OK, so we need
  - :DataMining :hasAuthor :IanWitten, :EibeFrank . :IanWitten owl:differentFrom :EibeFrank .
  - $\rightarrow$  :DataMining a :MultiAuthorWork .

- Given:
  - :Faust :hasAuthor :Goethe .
- what can we derive from that?
- Since it worked for Multi Author Work, how about
   :Work owl:disjointUnionOf
   (:SingleAuthorWork,:MultiAuthorWork) .
   ?
- Note: we can classify : Faust neither as Single nor as Multi Author Work

## **Recap: Principles of RDF**

- Basic semantic principles of the Semantic Web
- AAA: Anybody can say Anything about Anything
- Non-unique name assumption
  - we can control it with owl:sameAs and owl:differentFrom
- Open World Assumption
  - so far, we have to live with it

#### **Closed Classes**

- The Open World Assumption says:
  - everything we do not know *could* be true
- Example:
  - :Tim a :PeopleInOfficeD219 .
  - :John a :PeopleInOfficeD219 .
  - :Mary a :PeopleInOfficeD219 .
- This does not mean that there cannot be more people in D219
  - :Mike a :PeopleInD219 .
- Sometimes, this is exactly what we want to say

#### **Closed Classes**

- Works with owl:oneOf in OWL DL
- Example:

:PeopleInOfficeD219 owl:oneOf (:Tim :John :Mary) .

• Now, what is the meaning of

:Mike a :PeopleInD219 .

?

#### Back to a Tale from the Road

• Solution:

```
:Faust a [ a owl:Restriction ;
        owl:onProperty :hasAuthor ;
        owl:allValuesFrom [
            a owl:Class ;
            owl:oneOf (:Goethe)
    ]
].
```

### **OWL DL: Restrictions with Single Values**

• For ObjectProperties:

```
:AfricanStates owl:subClassOf [
    a owl:Restriction ;
    owl:onProperty :locatedOnContinent
    owl:hasValue :Africa ] .
```

• For DatatypeProperties:

:AlbumsFromTheEarly80s owl:subClassOf [

```
a owl:Restriction ;
owl:onProperty :year
owl:dataRange
  (1980^^xsd:integer
    1981^^xsd:integer
    1982^^xsd:integer) ] .
```

## OWL Lite/DL vs. OWL Full

- OWL Lite/DL: a resource is *either* an instance *or* a class *or* a property
- OWL Full does not have such restrictions:

```
:Elephant a owl:Class .
:Elephant a :Species .
:Elephant :livesIn :Africa .
:Species a owl:Class .
```

- OWL Lite/DL: classes are only instances of owl:Class
- OWL Lite/DL: classes and properties can only have a predefined set of relations (e.g., rdfs:subClassOf).

#### And Now for Something Completely Different

• Can we use OWL to solve a Sudoku?

5	3			7				
6			1	9	5			
	9	8					6	
8				6				3
4			8		З			1
7				2				6
	6					2	8	
			4	1	9			5
				8			7	9

- What is our domain about?
- First of all, a closed class of numbers

```
:Number a owl:Class ;
  owl:oneOf (:1 :2 :3 :4 :5 :6 :7 :8 :9) .
  :1 owl:differentFrom (:2 :3 :4 :5 :6 :7 :8 :9) .
  :2 owl:differentFrom (:3 :4 :5 :6 :7 :8 :9) .
  ...
```

- ...and a lot of fields
  - that we want to fill with numbers
  - simplification: numbers are fields as well
  - we want to know which field equals which number

• 81 Fields:

c1\_11 a :Number . c1\_21 a :Number . ... c1\_33 a :Number . c2\_11 a :Number . ... c9 33 a :Number .

c1_11	c1_12	c2_11	c2_12		l I
	_	_	_		l
					ĺ
01 01					
					l I
					l I
					l I
c4 11					
					l I
					l
					l I
					į į
					į į
					į į
					ĺ
					į į

Fields in a quadrant are different

c1\_11 owl:differentFrom c1\_12, c1\_13, ..., c1\_33 . c1\_12 owl:differentFrom c1\_13, c1\_21, ..., c1\_33 ... c1\_32 owl:differentFrom c1\_33 . c2\_11 owl:differentFrom c2\_12, c2\_13, ..., c1\_33 . ... c9\_32 owl:differentFrom c9\_33 .

o1 11	01 10	oQ 11	02.12		
		CZ_11			
c1_21					
c4_11					
•					

• Fields in a row are different

```
c1_11 owl:differentFrom
c1_12, c1_13, ..., c3_13 .
```

••	•	
----	---	--

c1_11	c1_12	c2_11	c2_12		
c1_21					
c4_11					

• Fields in a column are different

c1\_11 owl:differentFrom c1\_21, c1\_31, ..., c3\_31 .

•••

c1_11	c1_12	c2_11	c2_12		
c1_21					
c4_11					

#### • Last step: enter known numbers

c1 11 owl:sameAs :5 .

- c1 12 owl:sameAs :3 .
- c1 21 owl:sameAs :6 .

•••

5	3			7				
6			1	9	5			
	9	8					6	
8				6				3
4			8		3			1
7				2				6
	6					2	8	
			4	1	9			5
				8			7	9

### **Running this Example in Protégé**

- We use a reasoner to infer implicit facts
- Here: number c\_11 (top left)

	4		
		3	
		2	
1			

Types 🕂 Ə Number	<b>7</b> @80	
Same Individual As +		Inferred: this is a 3
c2_21, c3_12, c4_22, Ontology1322829306170.owl#3	?@	
Different Individuals 🕂		Defined conditions
<b>♦</b> c1_12, c1_21, c1_22		Benned conditione
<b>♦</b> c1_12, c2_11, c2_12	<b>?</b> @×0	(horizontal, vertical,
♦c1_21, c3_11, c3_21	<b>?@XO</b>	
		square)

# Summary

- OWL allows defining more complex ontologies
- Flavors: OWL Lite, DL, Full
- Definitions of sets, restrictions, property characteristics
- In our example, we can now use the full set of conclusions:
  - − "Barcelona is not the capital of Spain." ✓
  - − "Madrid is not the capital of France." ✓
  - "Madrid is not a state."

## **Coming Up Next**

- Changes in OWL 2
- How does reasoning with OWL actually work?



#### **Questions?**

