

### Blocked Waterways

- The Legal Situation of Third Parties Affected -

Dr. Dr. Jan R. Lüsing

17<sup>th</sup> Mannheim Conference on Inland Navigation Law

Palais du Rhin - Strasbourg, 9th October 2025



#### Outline

Scenarios & affected parties

> Liability under German law towards vessels prevented from continuing their voyage

What about other affected parties?



# Scenarios & Affected Parties



#### Scenarios

- The demolition of bridges or locks along with the related salvage work usually means a temporary blockage of the waterway.
- > Beyond collisions with bridges and locks, there are other incidents that can result in the blockage of a waterway, such as :
  - Salvage operations following a ship accident (capsizing, grounding, collision)
  - Technical defect in a lock without external interference
  - Water engineering measures (repair work on the bank reinforcement)



- > Affected by the blockage of a waterway are in particular:
  - Other vessels prevented from continuing their voyage



- > Affected by the blockage of a waterway are in particular:
  - Other vessels prevented from continuing their voyage
  - Port facilities that are temporarily inaccessible by waterway



- > Affected by the blockage of a waterway are in particular:
  - Other vessels prevented from continuing their voyage
  - Port facilities that are temporarily inaccessible by waterway
  - Production facilities that are temporarily inaccessible by waterway



- > Affected by the blockage of a waterway are in particular:
  - Other vessels prevented from continuing their voyage
  - Port facilities that are temporarily inaccessible by waterway
  - Production facilities that are temporarily inaccessible by waterway
  - Cargo interests of a vessel prevented from continuing her voyage



Liability under German law towards vessels prevented from continuing their voyage



- > Some principles of German tort liability:
  - No general liability for damages



- Some principles of German tort liability:
  - No general liability for damages
  - Spheres of protection: Required is the infringement of a specific legal interest or an <u>absolute right</u> (e.g. life, body, property, legitimate possession, established and exercised business)



- Some principles of German tort liability:
  - No general liability for damages
  - Spheres of protection: Required is the infringement of a specific legal interest or an <u>absolute right</u> (e.g. life, body, property, legitimate possession, established and exercised business)
  - $\Rightarrow$  No liability for <u>pure</u> economic losses



- > Some principles of German tort liability:
  - No general liability for damages
  - Spheres of protection: Required is the infringement of a specific legal interest or an <u>absolute right</u> (e.g. life, body, property, legitimate possession, established and exercised business)
  - $\Rightarrow$  No liability for <u>pure</u> economic losses

• Liability only towards persons whose spheres of protection were directly infringed



- > Set of possibly infringed "absolute rights" discussed in court decision:
  - -> Property?
  - -> General Use of public infrastructure (waterways)?
  - -> Privileged Resident Use of public infrastructure (waterways)?
  - -> Established and executed business (ship operation business)?



➤ Infringement of <u>Property</u>?



- ➤ Infringement of <u>Property</u>?
  - Is affirmed by German courts in case of
  - A temporary suspension of usability, i.e. the impairment has the effect as if the item had been temporarily taken away



➤ Infringement of <u>Property</u>?

Is affirmed by the German courts in case of

 A temporary suspension of usability, i.e. the impairment has the effect <u>as if</u> the item had been temporarily taken away

#### Not required is:

- Actual physical damage or destruction of an item
- A minimum duration for the impairment (Federal Court of Last Instance, 2016)



➤ Infringement of <u>Property</u>?

Is denied by German courts if

• the vehicle's usability is merely <u>restricted</u> / e.g., the ship is merely prevented from undertaking a particular planned voyage.



- ➤ In conclusion infringement of <u>Property</u> is affirmed by German courts in case of
  - A temporary suspension of usability, i.e. the impairment has the effect <u>as if</u> the item were temporarily being taken away
  - No Physical damage or destruction of an item
  - No minimum duration for the impairment necessary (Federal Court, 2016)

is denied by German courts if

• the vehicle's usability is merely restricted / e.g., the ship is merely prevented from undertaking a particular planned voyage.



- > Absolute rights discussed in court decision:
  - -> Property -> depending on the facts of the case
  - -> General Use of public infrastructure (waterways)?
  - -> Privileged Resident Use of public infrastructure (waterways)?
  - -> Established and executed business (ship operation business)?



- Infringement of the right to <u>General Use of public waterways</u>?

  Is denied by German courts, because
  - Right to General Use of public waterways is not deemed to be an "absolute right".
- ➤ Infringement of the right to <u>Privileged Resident Use of public infrastructure</u>?
  - Not applicable, as travelling vessels are not residents.



- > Set of possibly infringed "absolute rights" discussed in court decision:
  - -> Property -> depending on the facts of the case
  - -> General Use of public infrastructure (waterways)
  - -> Privileged Resident Use of public infrastructure (waterways)
  - -> Established and executed business (ship operation business)?



> Infringement of the right to the ship operation business?

Is denied by the German courts, because

- the navigability (useability) of a waterway is not part of a ship operating business.
- required would be an impairment <u>directly related</u> to the ship operating business.



#### Cases – Vessels prevented from continuing their voyage

- Example 1: Federal Court 1970 Mill-Canal Buxtehude
  - Collapse of the canal wall
  - Closure of the canal for about 8 months
  - Remaining space of mobility: non
  - ⇒ Compensation granted due to infringement of property
- Example 2: Higher Reginal Court Cologne 1975 Rhine-Ruhr Harbour
  - Collision with the Harbour lock
  - Downtime of the lock lasted 24 days
  - Remaining space of mobility: ca. 10 km (but: court applied practicaleconomic criteria to asses remaining usability of the trapped vessels)
  - => Compensation granted due to infringement of property



#### Cases – Vessels prevented from continuing their voyage

- Example 3: Central Commission for Rhin Navigation 2013 Rhine near Loreley
  - Official closure due to capsized inland barge WALDHOF
  - Full blockage of the Rhine at the accident site for 7 days
  - Remaining space of mobility: Rhin above and below the accident site
  - => No compensation granted (no infringement of property)
- > Example 4: BGH 2016 Xantener marina (Rhine)
  - Harbour exit blocked by anchor chain
  - Blockage for only 2 hours
  - Remaining space of mobility: non
  - => Compensation granted due to infringement of property



# What about other affected parties?



## Cases – Facilities that are temporarily inaccessible by waterways

- Example: BGH 1982 Harbour Lüneburg (Elbe-Lateral Canal)
  - Closure due to collapse or canal bank on 18.11.1976 northerly of Lüneburg
  - Port facility was left with no water-side access for ca. 9 months
  - => No compensation granted



# Liability towards— Facilities that are temporarily inaccessible by waterways

- Infringement of an absolute right -> Property?
  - Was denied in the Lüneburg-Case, because
  - the facility remained accessible to other means of transportation

- ➤ Infringement of an absolute right -> <u>General Use of public waterways</u>?

  Is denied by the German judicature, because
  - Right to General Use of public waterways is not deemed to be an "absolute right".



## Liability towards— Facilities that are temporarily inaccessible by waterways

- Infringement of an absolute right -> <u>Privileged Resident Use of public waterways</u>? Is denied by the German judicature, because
  - there is no right to Privileged Resident Use at waterways used for shipping.
- ➤ Infringement of an absolute right -> <u>Established and executed business</u>? Was denied in the Lüneburg-Case, because
  - the blockage of the water-side access to the port facility was a general consequence of the incident and therefore not a specific impairment of the business.



#### Liability towards— Cargo interests of a vessel prevented from continuing her voyage

- ➤ Infringement of an absolute right -> <u>Ownership</u> or <u>Possession</u> on the goods?
  - No case law, so far
  - the crucial question would be:
  - -> Can the goods be deemed <u>as if</u> temporarily been taken away?



## Summing-up



#### When is liability affirmed?

- > Set of possibly infringed "absolute rights" discussed in court decision:
  - -> Property
  - yes, in case of a temporary suspension of usability, i.e. the impairment has the effect <u>as if</u> the item were temporarily being taken away
  - no, if the vehicle's usability is merely restricted, e.g. the ship is merely prevented from undertaking a particular planned voyage
  - -> Common Right to Use public waterways
  - -> Privileged Right to Resident Use of public infrastructure
  - -> Established and executed business



#### Towards whom does liability exist?

- > Other vessels prevented from continuing their voyage:
  - -> recognised by case law under the strict conditions of a violation of property.
- Port facilities that are temporarily inaccessible by waterway:
  - -> denied by case law in cases where the business remained accessible by other means of transport.
- > Production facilities that are temporarily inaccessible by waterway:
  - -> so far, there is no German case law;
  - -> presumably treated in the same way as port facilities
- > Cargo interests of a vessel prevented from continuing her voyage:
  - -> so far, there is no German case law;
  - -> arguable for very special circumstances, where cargo cannot be discharged.

Blocked Waterways
-The Legal Situation of Third Parties Affected-



### Thank you

17<sup>th</sup> Mannheim Conference on Inland Navigation Law

Palais du Rhin - Strasbourg, 9th October 2025

Dr. Dr. Jan R. Lüsing